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QUANTIFYING THE BENEFITS OF CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION MEASURES IN BUILDINGS, TRANSPORT AND ENERGY SUPPLY
NewClimate Institute supports research and implementation of action against climate change around the globe. We generate and share knowledge on international climate negotiations, tracking climate action, climate and development, climate finance and carbon market mechanisms. We connect up-to-date research with the real world decision making processes, making it possible to increase ambition in acting against climate change and contribute to finding sustainable and equitable solutions. Our projects are internationally recognised and followed and put us at the forefront of the climate change forum, where we seek to achieve maximum impact for the international climate change mitigation effort.

C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group (C40)
C40 connects more than 95 of the world’s greatest cities to deliver the urgent and essential climate action needed to secure a sustainable, prosperous and healthy future for urban citizens worldwide. Representing 700 million people and one quarter of the global economy, mayors in the C40 network are, and have to be, committed to delivering on the most ambitious goals of the Paris Agreement. The benefits of urgent climate action by cities is increasingly clear. Those cities which make the sustainability transition fastest will also be the healthiest, wealthiest, most liveable cities of the future.

Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate & Energy (GCoM)
The Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy is the largest global coalition of cities and local governments voluntarily committed to actively combatting climate change and transitioning to a low-carbon and climate resilient economy. Led by UN Secretary-General’s Special Envoy for Climate Action, Michael R. Bloomberg, and European Commission Vice President, Maroš Šefcovic, in partnership with local, regional and global city networks, the Global Covenant has thousands of city signatories across 6 continents and more than 120 countries, representing over 700 million people or nearly 10% of the global population. By 2030, Global Covenant cities and local governments could collectively reduce 1.3 billion tons of CO2 emissions per year from business-as-usual – equal to the emissions of 276 million cars taken off the road.

This research was funded by Bloomberg Philanthropies.

Contacts for this report
Thomas Day, NewClimate Institute, t.day@newclimate.org
Markus Berensson, C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group, research@c40.org
Shannon McDaniel, GCoM, smcdaniel@globalcovenantofmayors.org

Cover picture: Shutterstock - By zhangyang13576997233

Download the report
http://newclimate.org/publications/
https://www.globalcovenantofmayors.org/news/
http://www.c40.org/research
ASSOCIATED WORK

The Climate Opportunity project builds upon and contributes towards other related activities from the partner organisations.

NewClimate Institute currently works directly with national and subnational governments of several developing countries to support the assessment of the wider impacts of climate relevant actions, and to integrate these wider considerations into their sector-level and climate change planning.

The Ambition to Action supports the governments of Kenya, Argentina, Thailand and Indonesia with the assessment of the impacts of low carbon energy sector pathways, whilst the Capacity Building for Climate Change project includes impact analysis as a component in support packages for the further development of climate policy in Georgia and Mongolia, including at the national and subnational level.

C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group (C40) operates a dedicated Inclusive Climate Action Research Programme to build the evidence base for the benefits of inclusive climate actions. It supports C40 member cities to implement climate actions that are equitable and fully accessible to the city’s population in all its territory, thereby delivering the maximum potential emission and risk reductions while maximising its wider benefits. The research program has three areas:

- Coordination, standardisation and mobilisation of global efforts on benefits research: the programme aims to establish a common set of principles, taxonomy and pathways for relating climate action to other key urban priorities like equity, health and prosperity.
- Measuring city benefits: determining the impacts and benefits of actions in specific cities to equip cities with the data they need to establish a case for action.
- Communicating the case for action: supporting cities to use the evidence effectively to secure political and financial support.

1 Further information on the Ambition to Action project is available at http://ambitiontoaction.net/
2 See further information on the C40 & Ramboll research report (RAMBOLL & C40, 2018).
3 See further information on the C40 benefits work at http://www.c40.org/benefits
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Key Messages
- Climate policies on building retrofits, bus networks and district energy and cooling can generate millions of jobs, save households billions of dollars, and prevent hundreds of thousands of deaths related to urban pollution all over the world.
- The report helps policymakers establish the case for climate action by providing evidence on how climate measures are interrelated with, and support, other urban policy goals.
- Climate Opportunity shows that mitigating climate change through ambitious policies can help cities achieve their broader social and economic agendas and deliver outcomes for health and prosperity.
- By using Climate Opportunity’s methodology and tools, policymakers can investigate further linkages between ambitious climate measures and their social and economic priorities.

Introduction
Cities account for 73% of global GHG emissions (IEA 2016); if nations should be able to deliver on their commitments under the Paris Climate Agreement, it is necessary to encourage and facilitate large-scale urban climate action. Achieving substantial reductions in energy-related emissions requires simultaneous climate action across sectors.
Detailed, practical, and scientifically robust information exists on what type of climate actions urban areas can and must take to reduce their emissions. The aim of the Climate Opportunity report is to help local and national policymakers to establish the case for action by providing evidence on how climate policies are interrelated with, and deliver outcomes for, health, wealth and other development agendas.

Approach and Results
The Climate Opportunity report looks at the wider impacts of climate change, up to 2030, by analysing how efforts to promote energy efficiency retrofits in residential buildings, enhanced bus networks, and district-scale renewable energy reduce emissions as well as affect health and prosperity in selected global regions. These measures, impacts and regions were selected based on insights from recent research that highlights the most relevant, high impact and achievable climate actions (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016; McKinsey & C40 2017). These actions are crucial to the delivery of the Paris Agreement. 2030 was identified as a reasonable timeframe for impacts to be captured, though immediate action from incumbent Mayors is still required in order to deliver the rate of necessary change to achieve these impacts by 2030.

The report’s analysis focuses on two scenarios. First, a reference scenario that projects urban developments based on current trends and, second, an enhanced action scenario that assumes that each climate action is implemented at a level consistent with the requirements of the Paris Agreement.

The Climate Opportunity report has found positive impacts for various regions and countries in different stages of economic development. The report also shows that climate action can have proportionally greater benefits for lower income groups in the cities of developing countries, where populations often have the most to gain from the introduction of new technologies and practices.

Table 1 demonstrates the effects of taking urban climate action, in line with the enhanced action scenario. Climate action can be action for health and prosperity, with hundreds of thousands of prevented deaths, millions of jobs created, and billions generated in household savings.

### Table 1: Overview of Climate Opportunity results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>REGIONS</th>
<th>CITY-LEVEL IMPACTS ASSESSED</th>
<th>IMPACTS IN 2030</th>
<th>EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS IN 2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency retrofit of residential buildings</td>
<td>European Union; North America; China</td>
<td>Job creation; Household saving rates</td>
<td>Job creation – EU (1 m); North America (1.6 m); China (1 m; Worldwide (5.4 m)</td>
<td>EU (124 MtCO₂); North America (80 MtCO₂); China (43 MtCO₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced bus networks</td>
<td>North America; Latin America; South Asia</td>
<td>Outdoor air pollution and health impacts; Road traffic accident fatalities; Reduced commuting time</td>
<td>Outdoor air pollution and health impacts (prevent deaths/year) – North America (5,000); Latin America (25,000); South Asia (160,000); Worldwide (560,000)</td>
<td>North America (120 MtCO₂); Latin America (110 MtCO₂); South Asia (85 MtCO₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District heating and cooling</td>
<td>China; Africa; European Union</td>
<td>Job creation; Outdoor air pollution and health impacts; Savings from reduced fuel imports</td>
<td>Job creation – China (880,000); Africa (41,000-82,000); EU (2.8 m); Worldwide (8.3 m)</td>
<td>China (450 85 MtCO₂); Africa (20-40 85 MtCO₂); EU (200 85 MtCO₂)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table above is a simplified representation of the data provided in the document. The actual table includes more detailed and precise data, which is not fully captured in this snippet.
Conclusion

Climate Opportunity provides an evidence base that shows how acting to prevent climate change also helps cities to achieve multiple policy goals and deliver outcomes for health and prosperity.

- Investments in residential energy efficiency retrofit could result in the net creation of 5.4 million urban jobs, worldwide, and significant household savings – along with emission reductions.
- Improved bus services and more extensive networks could prevent the premature deaths of nearly 1 million people per year from air pollution and traffic fatalities worldwide, while saving 40 billion hours of commuters’ time each year by 2030 – along with reducing emissions.
- District-scale renewable energy for heating and cooling in buildings could prevent a further 300,000 premature air pollution related deaths per year, by 2030, while also creating jobs for approximately 8.3 million people – and result in emission reductions.

Implications for National Policymakers

Cities around the world are taking responsibility for their climate impact through ambitious action, as evidenced by the growing number of voluntary commitments cities are making through the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate & Energy; along with the yearly progress that is being reported.

To enable local policymakers to take the climate action that is necessary for meeting national commitments under the Paris Agreement, national governments should formulate plans for how they can support their cities in adopting ambitious climate measures – while delivering on health and prosperity. There are many policies that can facilitate urban climate action in the form of regulations, fiscal measures, information-provision as well as governance reforms that strengthen the role of local decision-making (Broekhoff et al. 2018).

National policy can also have a key role in fostering coordination across sectors and levels of government. As the Climate Opportunity report shows, acting to prevent climate change is interrelated with, and delivers outcomes for, health, prosperity and wider development agendas. Helping a broad set of stakeholders see the benefits, as well as guide them on how to work together to realise positive impacts, should be both a local and a national priority.
The objectives of the 2015 Paris Agreement are to limit the global temperature increase to well below 2°C, pursue efforts towards 1.5°C, and to decarbonise the global economy in the second half of the century. Recent research has demonstrated that immediate action in cities is critical for achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016; Stockholm Environment Institute 2018).

Despite this evidence and the urgency for action that it points to, cities may face significant barriers for establishing and making a robust case as well as ultimately taking climate action (C40 Cities, 2015; Compact of Mayors & C40 Cities 2016; Gouldson et al. 2015). This may be due to a lack of suitable knowledge, evidence and calculation tools to understand the wider benefits of climate action. With better tools, it will be easier for policymakers to estimate how climate policies can support other urban social and economic priorities, such as job creation and poverty alleviation. This report therefore seeks to change the way city stakeholders relate to climate action. It does this by providing concrete evidence on how climate action reduces emissions while also delivering positive outcomes for health and prosperity. In so doing, the report directly addresses key barriers that hamper the integration of impact and benefit analysis into sector-level planning in cities, by:

- demonstrating the scale of the benefits of taking climate action through the quantitative assessment of various measures in several regions;
- developing replicable methodologies for the evaluation of these impacts;
- making the results accessible to researchers, decisions makers and the general public;
- identifying the links between the climate agenda and various development agendas;

This report presents analysis on the impacts of climate action up to 2030 through energy efficiency retrofit in residential buildings, enhanced bus networks, and district-scale renewable energy in major global regions, based on the development and utilisation of new impact assessment methodologies and tools. The 2030 timeframe was identified as a reasonable timeframe for impacts to be captured, though immediate action from incumbent Mayors is still required in order to deliver the rate of change necessary. The scenarios analysed in the research are derived from aggregated science-based targets to the extent possible, with these scenarios applied to all cities. It may be possible, or necessary, for some cities with higher capabilities to aim for action even further beyond these scenarios.

Readers are encouraged to engage in, criticise and further build upon the methodologies and results in this report, and to make use of the content as a tool for moving towards more holistic and participatory planning for sustainable development in cities.

/ WITH BETTER TOOLS, IT WILL BE EASIER FOR POLICYMAKERS TO ESTIMATE HOW CLIMATE POLICIES CAN SUPPORT OTHER URBAN SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC PRIORITIES, SUCH AS JOB CREATION AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION. /
IMPORTANCE OF CITIES IN DELIVERING A CLIMATE SAFE FUTURE

In the global effort to reduce emissions and contain the threat of global warming, it is on the local scale that a great deal of action will need to happen. Subnational governance will play a pivotal role in implementing measures on the ground that help to reduce emissions in cities (van Staden & Musco 2010), where more than half of the world’s population currently live (UNDESA 2014).

In this context, urban climate action is crucial to deliver the ambition of the Paris Agreement (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016). The role of cities in mitigating and adapting to climate change is expected to become ever more important for two key reasons. The world’s urban population is expected to rise by 2.5 billion people by 2050—nearly equal to the expected total population growth worldwide by 2050 (UN 2015)—and the GDP of cities is projected to rise even faster (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016). Typically, there is a positive correlation between a country’s level of urbanisation and its GHG emissions; and many cities have per-capita emission intensities that far exceed that of the country as a whole, or, indeed, of other countries/regions that are among the world’s largest per-capita emitters, such as the USA and the EU (Hoornweg et al. 2011; Kennedy et al. 2009).

Scenarios of limiting global temperature rise to 2°C, such as the IEA’s Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP), foresee a stronger role for cities in reducing energy related emissions in the coming decades than for non-urban areas. As Figure 1 exemplifies, this is the case for both developed and developing regions (IEA 2016b). Globally, the reductions necessary by 2050 (compared to 2013 levels) amount to more than 60% for urban areas, and around 40% for non-urban areas. For urban areas in developed countries, this level would have to be almost 80%, as compared to roughly 50% in developing countries.

Figure 1: Energy-related emissions (both from direct energy use and electricity generation) in the 2016 IEA ETP 2°C scenario, by urban/non-urban and OECD/non-OECD (IEA 2016b).

Here represented by OECD and non-OECD countries, respectively.
These reductions in energy-related emissions will need to be distributed across various emission sources. The ETP implies that the largest potential, and the highest need for rapid mitigation, is in the power sector, with up to 90% reduction of emissions required by 2050. This could be addressed through a combination of energy efficiency measures and renewable energy generation in rural and urban areas.

Figure 2: Required reductions of emissions from electricity generation and various types of end-uses in urban areas worldwide in the 2°C scenario of (IEA 2016b). (Left): Emissions under the ETP’s 2°C scenario in urban areas worldwide. (Right) The required reductions in emissions by 2050 compared to 2013 levels in this scenario for four categories.

Ambitious mitigation outcomes are dependent on the implementation of various measures including a shift to cleaner fuels in direct thermal combustion (e.g. for heating in buildings through a shift to district-level energy supply and renewable energy technologies), a drive for electrification in all energy end-use sectors along with decarbonisation of power production, the implementation of measures to increase efficiency and reduce energetic losses (e.g. renovation of buildings; implementation of energy codes for new buildings; efficiency improvement drives in industry; circular economy measures to reduce waste, increase recycling, and decrease demand for high-carbon products), and the implementation of incentives or policies to optimise activity levels of high emission activities (e.g. improved transport demand management and a shift to public transport to limit the number of kilometres driven; or replacements of emission-intensive materials, such as steel or cement, with alternatives).

The results above are based on a 2°C trajectory, as corresponding 1.5°C trajectories are currently not available. The Deadline 2020 report from ARUP and C40, based on a 1.5°C scenario, estimate that per-capita emissions in the C40 cities would have to drop from 5 tCO₂e per capita in 2015, to around 2.9 tCO₂e per capita by 2030, and to carbon neutrality by 2050 (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016). This is, for example, roughly equivalent to the current per-capita emissions of the average inhabitant of Peru or Indonesia (Climate Action Tracker 2016). The Deadline 2020 report (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016) presents detailed differentiated pathways towards reaching the necessary reductions based on cities' current per-capita GDP and emission levels.

2.2/ ACTION FOR CLIMATE, HEALTH AND PROSPERITY

It is sometimes perceived that the pursuance of climate change mitigation action represents a burden which may conflict with the development agenda. These concerns are often drawn from the observation that greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions were historically coupled to economic development. However, recent trends have proven that further economic development did not depend on increasing GHG emissions: global trends for energy-related CO₂ and GDP growth were decoupled from one another in 2015 (Olivier et al. 2016) and 2016 (IEA 2016a).

The misconception that the climate agenda represents a burden to the development agenda may also arise from the understanding that resources which are spent on measures for climate action entail significant opportunity costs, in that they are not available for other uses. This perception derives largely from the fragmentation of sustainable development issues. Measures for the decarbonisation of the economy are often planned and assessed in isolation, in climate- and environment-related policy silos, where decarbonisation is the major objective and the comparative direct capital costs of measures is the primary assessment criteria. When significant developments are observed in climate change policy, these are often not driven by the climate
change planning, but by various aspects of the city-level and national development agendas which happen to have synergies with climate change mitigation outcomes. These planning and policy making processes can be made more efficient if there is a greater understanding of integrated development approaches, which simultaneously advance multiple benefits across the three dimensions of sustainable development (social, environmental and economic) (PAGE 2016). Such policy integration can ensure that resources are invested efficiently in ways that maximise the synergies between various development priorities, of which climate change mitigation is one.

Table 2 demonstrates some of the synergies between three important measures for climate action that are analysed in this report, and a selection of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This offers only an introductory insight: the potential synergies between climate change mitigation and adaptation agendas at the city level are numerous, and dependent on the local context. Through these synergies, the climate change mitigation and adaptation agendas are increasingly being seen as an opportunity for cities and national governments, rather than a burden and it is increasingly understood that climate change action can also be action for health and prosperity. Decarbonisation of the energy supply sector and measures to improve energy efficiency can create opportunities for scores of local jobs, and for the development of new local industries, whilst the link to climate change mitigation outcomes may enhance access to international technical and financial assistance to support these developments. Many investments in low carbon technologies and infrastructure may create opportunities for highly specialised industries and workers, meaning that significant portions of these opportunities are subject to first-mover advantages, incentivising a race to the top approach to embarking on the low carbon transition.

A deep understanding of how climate actions are linked with the SDGs, which is a fundamental step to establish the case for climate action, has been at the core of a recent global research effort led by C40 and Ramboll. The development of an Urban Climate Action Impacts Framework, supported by 15 C40 member cities and experts from sixteen NGOs, international governmental organisations, consultancies, and think tank organisations that are promoting sustainable and resilient urban development, illustrates how urban life is highly interconnected, where the environment, society and economy all impact each other (both positively and negatively) in complex dynamics (RAMBOLL & C40, 2018).

For additional information on how climate actions are linked with the SDGs, please refer to the Urban Climate Action Impacts Framework (RAMBOLL & C40, 2018).

Table 2: Demonstration of some selected synergies between climate and development agendas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDGs)</th>
<th>TYPICAL MEASURES FOR CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION AND LINKAGES TO SDGs</th>
<th>ENERGY SUPPLY (Renewable and decentralised technologies)</th>
<th>ENERGY EFFICIENCY (e.g. in buildings and industry)</th>
<th>TRANSPORT (modal shift to public transport)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. NO POVERTY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy access boosts productivity and economic opportunities</td>
<td>Reduce household energy bills</td>
<td>Accessibility and mobility for poorer communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce air pollution and health risks</td>
<td>Reduce indoor air pollution and sick building syndrome</td>
<td>Reduce air pollution and health risks; potential physical activity benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. QUALITY EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhance conditions for learning</td>
<td>Enhance conditions for learning</td>
<td>Enhance access to educational institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. GENDER EQUALITY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Successful introduction of programmes for reducing emissions depends on empowerment and participation of women in the household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy security (affordability depends on policy options)</td>
<td>Reduce energy consumption and bills</td>
<td>Reduced total energy demand and use of fossil fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Creation of decent jobs and new industries (Depends on policy options to avoid adverse outcomes of job losses in older industries)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalyse local enterprise and industries</td>
<td>Improve efficiency and competitiveness of industry</td>
<td>Develop long-term, sustainable infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. REDUCED INEQUALITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decentralised energy can better address access for marginalised communities</td>
<td>Energy expenditure burden is greater for lower income groups</td>
<td>Lower income groups most disadvantaged for mobility (depends on policies to prevent gentrification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology suitable for long-term needs of cities and inhabitants</td>
<td>Investments extend usable lifetime of built environment</td>
<td>Infrastructure suitable for long-term needs of cities and inhabitants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. CLIMATE ACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decarbonise cities; improve resilience to shocks related to natural hazards and weather extremes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3/ ROLE AND STATUS OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT AND BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR CITIES

Cities face a great challenge in establishing and making the case for climate action, in the face of other development priorities. Whilst the direct upfront capital costs of climate change mitigation and adaptation in cities are increasingly well understood in developed and developing countries alike, decision making and investment planning is hindered by uncertainty in the indirect costs and the positive impacts of these measures.

These indirect costs and positive impacts of climate change mitigation measures, which are often termed the co-benefits, co-costs, or broader impacts of climate change action, have become a highly important area of investigation for city level and national governments, and for the international research community. It is increasingly recognised that there are highly significant linkages between options for sustainable infrastructure development and other national and subnational objectives, including for various Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), such as for health outcomes, welfare, economic growth, poverty alleviation and equity indicators, amongst others, as described in section 2.2.

An appreciation of the wider impacts of measures for sustainable and low-carbon infrastructure can better inform integrated planning for sustainable development in cities, as well as simplified and transparent methods for assessing these impacts (Dubash et al. 2014). These indirect costs and positive impacts of climate change mitigation measures, which are often termed the co-benefits, may be unknown. Even in the case where inter-linkages and their significance are generally appreciated, methodological means and resources for their assessment are lacking.

City-level and national governments could benefit from further research on the wider impacts of climate change actions in cities, as well as simplified and transparent methods for assessing these impacts (Dubash et al. 2014). Better information can impact the perceived costs and risks for private- and public-sector decision makers, whilst civil society organisations (CSOs) could also benefit from more robust and widely reviewed methodologies to bring more credibility to their arguments.

Whilst there is a clear and important role for impact and benefit analysis of potential low carbon measures for urban planners and city level decision makers, such analysis can also assist the international research and decision-making community in determining the role for non-state and subnational actors in the global climate change mitigation effort. To date, national action remains the primary focus of the research community and the international negotiations, although there is an increasing volume of evidence that subnational and non-state action may have highly significant potential for climate change mitigation, and that many of the opportunities associated with such actions may also be more tangible and relevant for subnational actors.

Enhanced understanding of the opportunities for cities and their role in global mitigation may also have important implications for the volume of climate and development finance available to subnational actors.

Despite several positive examples, such impacts often do not enter quantitative decision-support frameworks in cities (Dubash et al. 2014), although many attempts to quantify the externalities indicate that the scale of their significance should be compelling. Ahl et al. (2018) estimated that the economic impacts of sustainable infrastructure investments in the energy sector may be 15 times greater than the capital costs of the technology deployment, whilst Sudmant et al. (2016) found that actions for a transition to a low carbon economy in cities could bring direct economic benefits in the order of USD 16.8 trillion by 2050. It has been demonstrated that the incorporation of co-impacts can significantly change the outcome of economic assessments for decision making (Dubash et al. 2014).

Several significant barriers often persist to prevent the appropriate consideration of wider impacts and co-benefits for integrated sustainable development planning in cities. The inter-linkages between options for sector pathways, and their impacts on other sectors and objectives, may not have been identified. In the case that there is an awareness of these inter-linkages, the scale of significance of potential impacts may be unknown. Even in the case where inter-linkages and their significance are generally appreciated, methodological means and resources for their assessment and integration in decision making processes are lacking.

City-level and national governments could benefit from further research on the wider impacts of climate change actions in cities, as well as simplified and transparent methods for assessing these impacts (Dubash et al. 2014). Better information can impact the perceived costs and risks for private- and public-sector decision makers, whilst civil society organisations (CSOs) could also benefit from more robust and widely reviewed methodologies to bring more credibility to their arguments.

Whilst there is a clear and important role for impact and benefit analysis of potential low carbon measures for urban planners and city level decision makers, such analysis can also assist the international research and decision-making community in determining the role for non-state and subnational actors in the global climate change mitigation effort. To date, national action remains the primary focus of the research community and the international negotiations, although there is an increasing volume of evidence that subnational and non-state action may have highly significant potential for climate change mitigation, and that many of the opportunities associated with such actions may also be more tangible and relevant for subnational actors.
3.1/ SCOPE OF REPORT

The authors of this report have built on the available literature to develop methodologies for the quantitative assessment of several impacts, for three potential measures for climate change action in cities. The following sections (sections 4, 5 and 7) include an overview of these three measures, looking at the importance of the measures in cities for climate change action, the various potential impacts, and the results of the quantitative analysis for two or three benefits per measure. The impact quantification assessment for each measure is conducted for cities in three relevant regions and upscaled to the global level.

Table 3 provides an overview of the measures, impacts and regions included within this report. In addition to these specific impacts, the GHG emission potential reduction for each measure was also assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>CITY-LEVEL SPECIFIC IMPACTS ASSESSED</th>
<th>REGIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency retrofit of residential buildings (section 4)</td>
<td>Job creation, focusing on the net direct and indirect impacts in the construction sector, the construction material supply chain, the energy supply sector and induced impacts (section 4.5.2).</td>
<td>European Union; North America; China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job creation, focusing on reduced energy expenditure in relation to annual disposable income and savings rates for different income quintiles (section 4.5.3).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced bus networks (section 5)</td>
<td>Outdoor air pollution and health impacts, focusing on all cause premature mortality from excessive exposure to air pollutants (section 5.5.1).</td>
<td>North America; Latin America; South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident fatalities, focusing on fatalities caused by volumes of vehicle traffic on public roadways (section 5.5.2).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential commuter time savings, focusing on the potential for savings from the segregation of bus lanes from public roadways (section 5.5.3).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District heating and cooling (section 6)</td>
<td>Job creation, focusing on the net direct impacts in the construction sector and the energy supply sector (section 6.5.1).</td>
<td>China; Africa, European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor air pollution and health impacts, focusing on all cause premature mortality from excessive exposure to fine particulate matter (section 6.5.2).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced energy security, focusing on reduced reliance on fossil fuel imports (section 6.5.3).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These measures, impacts and regions were selected for analysis based upon insights from C40 research (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016) on the most relevant and high-impact issues for decision makers in the short term up to 2020, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis. Measures are also aligned with another recent research that analyses the greatest opportunities for cities to accelerate the reduction of their carbon emissions (McKinsey & C40 Cities 2017).

3.2/ METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

This section contains a brief description of the methodological approach used for the analysis. Annex I includes a flow chart summary of the methodological steps for the quantitative assessment of these impacts, whilst full details are included in the separate technical methodological document.

Development of calculation tools

The analysis for the impacts of three measures was conducted through the development of new bottom-up quantitative calculation tools, built on the available literature. These tools were developed specifically for this research report, building upon previous work from the project team and the wider literature, where possible.

Links between various actions (policy stimuli) and eventual outcomes and impacts were mapped, with scientific literature consulted for methods to assess the various cause-effect relationships between the various steps mapped between the stimuli and the outcome.

Since several of the topics addressed in this research are new and not broadly covered in the literature to date, some assumptions have been required to navigate highly complex issues and overcome the lack of available data.

The full methodologies employed for these tools are transparently documented in the technical methodology document, building upon previous work from the project team and the wider literature, where possible. The full methodologies employed for these tools are transparently documented in the technical methodology document, building upon previous work from the project team and the wider literature, where possible.

Scenarios analysed

The analysis of impacts in this report looks at the aggregated impacts of actions for cities in regions. For example, in an analysis of the impacts of energy efficiency retrofit in North America, it is assumed that the measures are implemented in all urban areas of the region, with the results aggregated at the regional level. Aggregated results are also scaled to the average 1-million population city, and for the C40 network cities in the region.

The analysis focuses on two distinct scenarios for each measure for climate action:

- Firstly, a reference scenario, was constructed to project what may happen in cities in the case that current policies and trends persist and are not advanced upon. The definition of this scenario is variable between the sectors analysed in this report, depending on the sources available: input parameters may be drawn from studies and databases that describe current policy scenarios, reference cases, or business as usual scenarios, and the specific definitions of these scenarios across different studies may vary to some extent.

- Secondly, an enhanced action scenario (EAS) was constructed based on actions that are assumed to be compatible with the fulfilment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement, to limit global temperature increase to well below 2°C. In the case that studies or datasets identifying explicit 2°C and 1.5°C pathways were not available for specific measures, scenarios were identified which were understood to represent general high ambition and/or Paris Agreement compatibility, whilst also being realistic. In the case that the gap in the literature does not allow for the identification of such scenarios, multiple illustrative scenarios are indicated along with their emissions implications. Further details are found in the methodological technical note.
Under a reference scenario, residential energy efficiency retrofits will continue at a relatively shallow depth up to 2030 in the European Union, North America and China. This shallow renovation pathway represents an average rate of retrofit of approximately 1.4% for the three regions, resulting in a reduction of residential energy use of 10% from efficiency improvements.

Under an enhanced building retrofit scenario, policy measures would be implemented that push for building renovations that take final energy demand to the level of new efficient buildings, consuming no more than 22 kWh/m²/yr for spatial heating and cooling. Under this scenario, the retrofit rate increases to 3% per year, resulting in efficiency improvements of 63% in the European Union, of 54% in North America and of 21% in China. By 2030, this would result in the reduction of annual GHG emissions by over 120 MtCO₂e in the European Union, 80 MtCO₂e in North America, and 40 MtCO₂e in China. The potential impacts of the enhanced action scenario are estimated to be:

- Net creation of approximately 1 million jobs sustained over the period 2015-2030 in urban areas of the European Union and China, and 1.5 million in North America. This is equivalent to approximately 4% of unemployed persons in the European Union, 6% in China, and 12% in the United States in 2017. The enhanced action scenario will increase the demand for skilled and professional workers, driving incentives for improved education and staff training opportunities.

- The average annual energy demand for spatial heating and cooling of all households in the regions may be 8-28% lower in 2030 (compared to a 2% reduction under the reference scenario).

- Households could effectively increase their annual household savings by approximately 60% in the European Union and 10% in North America by 2030. The significant difference between the regions is owing to the considerably lower prices of energy in North America.

- Disposable income of a European household in the lowest income quintile could increase by 4.9%, while a North American household in the lowest income quintile could increase its disposable income by 3.1%.

At the global level, an enhanced building retrofit scenario could create nearly 5.5 million jobs worldwide through direct employment in the construction and supply industry, and indirectly through the induced effect of increased household savings.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY RETROFIT
In 2013, the total global building stock floor space was around 212 billion m²; approximately 60% of this floor space was to be found in urban areas, of which the residential sector accounted for 75% (IEA 2016c). Projections show that the global urban building floor area is expected to double by 2050, due to increasing rates of urbanisation, particularly in developing countries, and expected increases in average household floor area associated with increased household wealth (IEA 2016c).

Vast proportions of the global residential building stock in urban areas worldwide are characterised by extremely poor energy efficiency performance. Many buildings remain in their original state, long beyond their intended lifespans, and are unfit for purpose. This creates a major burden for building occupants through excessive expenditure on energy and poor comfort levels, amongst other grievances.

This chapter focuses on the analysis of residential energy efficiency retrofits, which for the scope of this study is defined as the implementation of measures to improve the thermal energy performance of urban residential building structures, to reduce energy demand for spatial heating and cooling. Potential measures for residential energy efficiency retrofit include, for example, weatherisation of windows and doors, wall insulation, renovation and insulation of roofing, installation of building elements to manage solar heat gains, and structural adjustments to optimise thermal flows, modern building automation and control, amongst others. These measures are not mutually exclusive and would be best implemented as a package, given the many overlaps between them. Beyond this scope, several other measures for energy in buildings could lead to significant emission reductions and further benefits, such as enhanced efficiency of lighting and appliances, as well as building integrated renewable energy generation.

4.1/ IMPORTANT OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDING RETROFIT IN CITIES

Energy consumption for spatial heating and cooling in residential buildings in urban areas is projected to increase by approximately 50% by 2050 (IEA 2016c), driven by increasing rates of urbanisation. This projected trend is in stark contrast to the increasing maturity of available technologies for the decarbonisation of the building sector, and the required progress in decarbonisation of the sector up to 2030 (Lucon et al. 2014; UNEP 2009; EEFIG 2015). Rogelj et al. (2015) found that a 1.5°C target would require direct emissions in the building sector to be reduced by 70-90% between 2010 and 2050.

Similarly, Becque et al. (2016) and the Deadline 2020 report (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016) highlight that improving the energy efficiency of buildings, is one of the fastest and most cost-effective ways of reducing carbon emissions and improving local economic development, air quality, and public health. The Deadline 2020 report finds that there is urgent need for pre-2020 action in the residential building sector, particularly through the retrofitting of the existing building stock: across the cities in the C40 network, support and incentive programmes for residential building retrofit could reduce emissions by approximately 0.7 GtCO₂e (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016).

Energy efficiency retrofit of residential buildings is particularly relevant in countries that have already experienced high rates of urbanisation, population growth and economic development. In these countries, the majority of the future building stock has already been built and is standing, with emission pathways largely dependent on improvements to these structures. In contrast, for countries currently experiencing or projected to go through rapid urban expansion, presently standing buildings may represent only a small portion of the future building stock and policies aimed at optimising new constructions may be even more relevant. Focusing on the areas of greater relevance, this chapter addresses the potential for residential building retrofit primarily in OECD country regions.

4.2/ POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDING RETROFIT MEASURES

Improvements in the urban environment can entail a broad range of benefits for urban populations who interact with the built environment on a continuous basis. Table 4 gives an overview of some of the key potential impacts from building retrofits, including considerations on equity, which is a key concern in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals. Both positive (“+”) and negative (“–”) impacts are listed.

// FROM ROGERS PARK TO TRUMBULL PARK, BUILDINGS AROUND THE CITY ARE TAKING PART IN RETROFIT CHICAGO AND SHOWING THEY CAN WORK TOGETHER TO REDUCE EMISSIONS, SAVE MONEY AND PUT PEOPLE TO WORK. THE FINDINGS FROM THE NEWCLIMATE INSTITUTE AND C40 RESEARCH SUPPORT WHAT WE KNOW TO BE TRUE IN CHICAGO: THAT INNOVATIVE INITIATIVE LIKE RETROFITTING SAVE ENERGY, REDUCE COSTS AND IMPROVE BUILDING PERFORMANCE, ALL WHILE DRIVING CREATION OF CLEAN 21ST-CENTURY JOBS. //

MAYOR EMANUEL, CITY OF CHICAGO
Table 4: Overview of some potential direct and indirect impacts from residential building retrofit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF IMPACTS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF OUTCOMES AND SPECIFIC IMPACTS</th>
<th>EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social impacts</td>
<td>+ Health benefits from better air quality from less fossil fuel burning and minimisation of the heat island effect &lt;br&gt; + Reduction of health afflictions for building occupants caused by poor natural lighting, poor building ventilation and mould &lt;br&gt; + Improved safety from new building technology with latest standards, minimising risk for accidents, fire or intrusion</td>
<td>Low income households often have a greater exposure to air pollution and extreme temperature events. Therefore, benefits will be more pronounced in these households.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social impacts</td>
<td>+ Increased ease of use and control of renovated buildings by users &lt;br&gt; + Increased living comfort and well-being through ability to maintain comfortable temperatures &lt;br&gt; + Direct assistance to low-income households in the case of EE programmes for social housing &lt;br&gt; + Retrofit construction work can result in temporary discomfort for local residents</td>
<td>Lower-income groups have most to gain from improvements as they are generally the most likely to live in uncomfortable conditions, due to energy poverty. Enhanced building retrofit can play a role in the alleviation of not only energy poverty but also general economic poverty in lower-income households.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impacts</td>
<td>+ Reduced expenditure on fuel and electricity and reduced energy poverty &lt;br&gt; + Reduced (financial) exposure to energy price fluctuations &lt;br&gt; + Increased property values for buildings with high efficiency standards &lt;br&gt; + Learning and productivity benefits through avoided “sick building syndrome” &lt;br&gt; + Opportunity cost for use of personal income, associated with the household’s initial investments</td>
<td>Cost savings from reduced energy consumption result in a proportionally greater increase in household savings for lower-income households, depending on the financing model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economic impacts (wider economy): + Creation of unskilled, skilled and professional jobs <br> + Cost savings and enhanced energy security through reduced dependence on fossil fuels <br> + Increased economic activity through technical innovation for energy efficient solutions and new business opportunities <br> + Reduced spending on energy in public buildings <br> + Reduced national healthcare spend due to enhanced health and safety <br> + Opportunity cost of large capital investments <br> + Reducing energy consumption may result in job losses in the energy supply sector <br>

Environmental impacts: + Improved air quality (indoor and outdoor) <br> + Reduced noise pollution <br> + Reduced greenhouse gas emissions <br> + Micro-ecosystem services supported through better potential for urban vegetation on walls and roofs <br>

4.3/ SCOPE OF ANALYSIS AND SCENARIOS

Regions
The analysis of benefits and impacts for energy efficiency retrofit of existing residential buildings was completed for cities within the European Union, North America and China. These regions were selected while keeping in mind that building retrofits will have much more significant impacts in cities, where the building stock has already been established and is aging compared to cities with high rates of construction or where most of the building stock is yet to be built. If inefficient building infrastructure, that is already in place in Global North cities, is left in operation until the end of its average technical lifetime, it will already have “committed” a significant amount of carbon to the carbon budget (Erickson & Tempest 2013). The current situation of the residential building stock in the selected regions is presented in Table 5.

While the overview in Table 5 indicates that there are considerable similarities in the residential building stocks of the European Union and North America, the regions also have distinctions. Residential units are considerably larger in North America, where the residential floor space per capita is approximately 73 m², compared to 43 m² in the European Union. Final energy demand and direct emissions from residential buildings per capita are also 52% and 45% higher in North America, respectively. These differences are owed to differences between the regions in population density and land space available; retail energy prices, which are considerably lower in North America; and behavioural trends. With the increased living standards following China’s economic development, floor space has increased around 60% between 2001 and 2014. China has lower energy demand per square meter when compared to the other regions, but due to its large population and building stock it is a particularly important region when assessing building retrofits worldwide. Table 5 shows that energy poverty remains an important issue for large segments of the population in all three regions.

Approximately 1.1-1.4% of the residential building stock is retrofit annually, with relatively minor depths of retrofit (Ürge-Vorsatz et al. 2012). Policies to require a more advanced retrofit rate do not exist for residential buildings in these regions. Nonetheless, the European Union’s 2010 Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD) require member states to up the rate of retrofit for public buildings to 3% and to prepare rational renovation strategies for the entire building stock beyond 2020. China’s Evaluation Standard for Green Retrofitting of Existing Buildings requires comprehensive evaluation of the building stock, while the government offers subsidies for energy-savings retrofit (Yuan et al. 2017).

Table 5: Current situation of the existing residential building stock in the EU, North America and China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EUROPEAN UNION*</th>
<th>NORTH AMERICA*</th>
<th>CHINA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor space (residential buildings)</td>
<td>22 billion m² in 2013, 75% in urban areas (European Commission 2016)</td>
<td>26.5 billion m² in 2013, 83% in urban areas (IEA, 2013)</td>
<td>47.7 billion m² in 2012, 80% in urban areas (Hong Lixuan, Zhou Nan, Fridley David, Feng Wei 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final energy demand (residential buildings)</td>
<td>3,384 TWh in 2013 (IEA 2016b): 68% spatial heating, &lt;1% cooling (European Commission 2016)</td>
<td>3,600 TWh in 2013 (IEA 2016b): 46% spatial heating, 8% cooling</td>
<td>4,398 TWh in 2013 (IEA 2016b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual specific consumption</td>
<td>153 kWh/m² in 2013 (European Commission 2016)</td>
<td>135 kWh/m² in 2013 (IEA 2016b)</td>
<td>92 kWh/m² in 2013 (IEA 2016b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy related emissions of residential buildings</td>
<td>Direct emissions of approximately 370 MtCO₂e in 2014 (IEA 2017a), 78% of which is for spatial heating and cooling.</td>
<td>Direct emissions of approximately 350 MtCO₂e in 2014 (IEA 2017a), 76% of which is for spatial heating and cooling.</td>
<td>Direct emissions of approximately 390 MtCO₂e in 2014 (IEA 2017a), 30% of which is for spatial heating and cooling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrofitting activity</td>
<td>Average rate of retrofit approximately 1.4% in European Union, North America and China in 2013 (based on IEA/OECD 2017). Retrofit rate in European Union member states ranges from 1.6% in Austria to 0.1% in Poland (European Commission 2016).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy poverty</td>
<td>125 million people cannot afford suitable indoor thermal comfort; &gt;10% of population cannot keep up with energy bill payments; &gt;15% of population live in buildings with leaking roofs or damp walls; 20% of population live in buildings which are not adequately cool in summer (Csiba et al. 2016).</td>
<td>Approximately 48 million people in the United States and more than 3 million people in Canada are estimated to be in conditions of energy poverty (Fisher Institute 2016); (Gridmates 2016) defined as excessive expenditure on energy or unaffordable access to energy.</td>
<td>387 million people in China did not have access to clean heating facilities in 2010 with 42 million located in urban areas (Wang et al. 2015). Although China’s energy poverty has decreased the alleviation of energy poverty is not consistent with economic development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The average numbers presented here are taken as reference, keeping in mind that these values vary widely across different countries/states within the same region.
Scenario parameters

The analysis for the potential of residential building retrofits is based on the following increases in the rates and depths of retrofitting activity:

- **Renovation rate:** The rate of retrofit indicates the proportion of the building stock which is retrofitted each year, as a percentage. A retrofit rate of 1.4% indicates that 1.4% of the residential building stock is retrofitted in a single year, meaning that it would take more than 70 years for the entire existing building stock to be retrofitted.

- **Retrofit depth:** The retrofit depth is the degree of energy efficiency improvement achieved through renovation.

Section 4.4 provides further details on the assumptions taken for the levers in each of the scenarios assessed in this analysis. Table 6 introduces the scenarios which are analysed for the European Union, China and North America in this study.9

Impacts

For clarity, we include a description of the terms that are used throughout this section:

- **Household:** A household is composed of the group of people living in the same dwelling space.

- **Energy efficiency retrofits:** Implementation of measures that will contribute to improve the thermal energy performance of urban residential buildings, reducing energy demand for spatial heating and cooling.

- **Household disposable income:** Total income of household occupants combined, after taxes.

- **Household energy expenditure:** Householder’s final consumption expenditure devoted to electricity, gas and other housing fuels for spatial heating and cooling needs.

- **Household saving rate:** Financial resources households have available each year after all expenditure from essential needs for use towards increasing assets and making investments.

This study focuses on the impacts of energy efficiency retrofit scenarios in the urban residential building sector for net job creation and household savings and wealth indicators needed for implementation. These impacts were selected for analysis based upon analysis from C40 and input from C40 cities on the most relevant issues for decision makers, providing important arguments for action, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis10.

- **Job creation** is assessed with regards to the net employment impact including estimated creation and losses of jobs in various sectors.

- **For household wealth indicators,** the impacts of retrofit are assessed with regards to the impact on the household’s final consumption space heating and cooling, and thus its effects on household asset accumulation and investment potential (annual savings rate).

Further, the investment requirements for implementation are estimated with regards to the upfront capital costs of measures in the scenarios. Greenhouse gas emission reductions are also assessed.

---

9 For full details on the methodological steps to define the scenarios and its variables for the quantitative assessment of the impacts, please refer to the separate technical methodological document.

10 For full details on the methodological steps for the quantitative assessment of these impacts, please refer to the separate Technical Note document.

### 4.4/ SCENARIOS FOR ENHANCED ACTION

Table 6 gives an overview of the scenarios and assumptions taken for the analysis of impacts of residential building retrofit measures in the European Union and North America.

Table 6: Scenarios for analysis of impacts of residential building retrofit measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Scenario (2015-2030)</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>CH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renovation rate (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.4% per year</td>
<td>1.4% per year</td>
<td>1.4% per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficiency improvement through renovation (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% (final energy consumption of 93 kWh/m² by 2020)</td>
<td>10% (final energy consumption of 61 kWh/m² by 2020)</td>
<td>10% (final energy consumption of 31 kWh/m² by 2023)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Under the enhanced action scenario, Table 6 shows that measures will increase the energy efficiency of the renovated buildings after 2020 by 80% in the EU, by 68% in North America, and by 26% in China. Figure 3 shows that this would result in the reduction of GHG emissions for spatial cooling and heating in the buildings sector by 124 MtCO₂ in the European Union, 88 MtCO₂ in North America, and 48 MtCO₂ in China, compared to the reference scenario. This is equivalent to approximately 0.25 tCO₂e per capita in urban areas in both North America and the European Union.

This is in line with the Paris Agreement compatible scenarios of the Deadline 2020 report (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016), which report average per capita emission reductions from the building sector of around 0.2-0.3 tCO₂e for 31 major cities in North America and Europe in 2030. In China, the reduction in emissions of residential buildings is lower, equivalent to 0.06 tCO₂e per capita, since the existing emissions intensity of the building sector in China is considerably lower than the other two regions.
4.5/ QUANTIFIED IMPACTS OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDING RETROFIT

4.5.1 Retrofit activity and investments

The policy environment and economic circumstances of the European Union, North America, and China has led to the incentivisation of only minimal retrofit activity; with a retrofit rate of approximately 1.4% of the building stock and relatively minor depths of retrofit. According to our calculations, an estimated EUR 12 billion were invested in the retrofit of approximately 210 million m² of urban residential building floor space in 2014 in the EU. In North America and China, an estimated EUR 20 billion was invested in retrofitting around 310 million m² and 360 million m² of urban residential building floor space, respectively, in the same year (assuming a retrofit rate of 1.4% for the regions). Under the reference scenario, the floor space area retrofitted each year and the depth of renovations are not projected to change significantly in any of the three regions, whilst the investment costs may decrease marginally due to the learning curve of technologies.

Figure 4 shows that, in contrast to the reference trajectory, the enhanced action scenario, which incorporates an increase in the rate and depth of retrofit, would increase the floor space retrofitted each year by 2025 to over 500 million m² in the European Union, over 850 million m² in North America and 1,150 million m² in China, with this rate of retrofit sustained towards 2030 and further into the future. Annual investment needs would increase many times over in the regions to an average of approximately EUR 60 billion per year in the European Union and China and EUR 90 billion per year in North America, for the period between 2015 and 2030. The significantly higher investment requirements in the enhanced retrofit scenario is due not only to the increased floor space under retrofit, but also the greater depth of the renovation measures, which demands more advanced materials and skills.

Figure 4 also shows that the investment needs will decrease between 2025 and 2030, or between 2028 and 2030 in China, due to the reducing costs of technologies and building practices. If investment flows could be maintained at their target levels, this drop indicates that there may be room to further increase the ambition for enhanced retrofit rates, or in other areas of the building sector and the construction industry.

For more details on the calculations behind the retrofit activity and investments, please refer to the separate Technical Note document.
Although the absolute volume of retrofitted floorspace is significantly higher in China than for other regions, the total annual renovation costs in China are on the same range as the costs in the European Union, due to the considerably lower marginal cost of renovation per square meter. While the European Union and North America have marginal costs of renovation around EUR 110 per square meter, China's costs are approximately EUR 80 per square meter of renovated floorspace.

4.5.2 Job creation

Investments in energy efficiency shift patterns within an economy in two major ways, both of which can stimulate a net increase in employment. First, the investment in energy efficiency upgrades stimulates the creation of jobs as the project is carried out. The initial expenditure drives direct, indirect, and induced jobs in the near term in labour-intensive industries such as construction, engineering, maintenance, and contracting. The deeper the renovation, the greater the costs, the labour needs and the job creation impacts. Indirect jobs are subsequently created in various stages of the supply chain. Secondly, money that could be saved from lower energy bills, and earned by the newly employed workers, is re-spent locally, creating induced jobs in a wide variety of service and retail industries (Bell 2011).

For the most part, these jobs are local in nature, and measures to improve energy efficiency have to take place at the site where the buildings stand (Janssen & Staniszek 2012). Measures are typically implemented through engineering, construction and installation companies from the local or semi-local economy (Torregrossa n.d.).

Figure 5 and Figure 6 provide an overview of the employment impacts of the reference scenario and enhanced action scenario (EAS), including net job gains and losses in the construction and energy supply sectors, as well as induced impacts. Figure 5 shows that while a reference scenario pathway could be expected to yield a total of approximately 200,000 full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs sustained over the period 2015-2030 in urban areas of the European Union, approximately 320,000 in North America and approximately 400,000 in China; jobs in the sector would increase almost five times under the enhanced action scenario (EAS), creating around 1 million FTE jobs in the European Union, 1.5 million in North America and 1 million FTE jobs in China. This difference in the net employment impact of the two scenarios is highly significant in the context of national unemployment rates: the gain is equivalent to approximately 4% of unemployed persons in the European Union, 12% in the United States and 6% in China in 2017 (18.5 million, 7.7 million, and 9.7 million people were unemployed in the European Union, North America and China, respectively, in 2017) (European Commission 2017; Trading Economics 2017). While in both North America and the European Union jobs in the enhanced scenario grow over five times, the impact of enhanced retrofit measures in China is smaller. The 250% growth in jobs created in the enhanced scenario in China is highly significant but lower than the other regions analysed, due to the country’s lower marginal costs of renovation and the slower introduction of deeper retrofit action in the enhanced scenario, compared to in North America and the European Union12.

The number of professional level staff employed in the enhanced action scenario is approximately 30 times greater than in the reference scenario in the EU and North America, and 10 times greater in China. Despite the potential job creation from investments in building retrofits, it should also be considered that these investments may entail an opportunity cost, depending on the source of finance and whether or not the finances are diverted from other potential investments in the local economy which could also lead to job creation. However, depending on the source of the resources, and the incentives for private sector investment, action could lead to additional resources being diverted away from investments that may otherwise have occurred outside of the region, resulting in job creation elsewhere.

Analysis of the types of jobs created by a deep renovation scenario, compared to a shallow renovation scenario, in Hungary (Jüge-Vorsatz et al. 2010), gives an indication of how the employment impact of the enhanced action scenario may be split between sectors and skillsets. The majority of the positive employment impact in the enhanced retrofit scenario would likely come from job creation in the construction and supply industries, which far offsets potential job losses in the energy industries stemming from reduced energy demand, as demonstrated in Figure 6. A smaller but still significant share of this employment impact comes from the induced effect that increased employment may have for increased spending and demand for services in the broader economy. Of the jobs that are created through direct employment in the construction sector, Figure 6 shows that retrofitting will generate employment opportunities for professionals, skilled workers and unskilled workers. A key difference between the scenarios is the increase in the demand for skilled and professional workers, driving incentives for improved education and staff training opportunities.
At the global level, the enhanced building retrofit scenario is estimated to lead to the creation of 5.4 million jobs worldwide in 2030, compared to the reference scenario. Table 7 shows how the results can be scaled down to the city level.
### CLIMATE OPPORTUNITY: MORE JOBS; BETTER HEALTH; LIVEABLE CITIES

#### SCALING DOWN THE RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average city</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>North America</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>European Union</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>- 250 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>- 15,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>- 80,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>- 1,300 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>- 80,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>- 380,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>China</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>- 2,200 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>- 142,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>- 300,000 jobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### GHG emission reductions of enhanced action scenario (2030)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average city</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>North America</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>C40 network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>GCoM network cities</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>EAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>European Union</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>170 kt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>10.0 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>51.0 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>140 kt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>8.7 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>19 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>China</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average city</td>
<td>32 kt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
<td>1.9 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
<td>0.5 Mt\text{CO}_2\text{e}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scaled down results are indicative approximations based on population, rather than a bottom up evaluation of specific cities. The ‘Average city’ refers to the potential impact in the region for a city of 500,000 population. “C40 network cities” and “GCoM network cities” refer to the potential impact either across all of the C40 Cities or Global Covenant of Mayors cities in the region.

### 4.5.3 Household cost savings and wealth

Energy bills play a significant role in households’ regular expenditures. In 2014, expenditure on energy, which includes household’s final consumption expenditure devoted to electricity, gas and other housing fuels, represented 4.2% of total household expenditure in the European Union, 2.3% in North America and 6.1% in China (Eurostat, 2017; Government of Canada, 2017; United States Department of Labor, 2017). The total energy spending for the average household depends on the countries’ existing infrastructure, climate conditions and energy prices (IEC-Energy 2017). More than half of this expenditure can usually be attributed to energy consumption for spatial heating and cooling (IEA 2016).

The burdens of energy related expenditure can be particularly relevant for lower-income households, for whom such expenditures usually account for a far greater proportion of disposable income. For example, low-income households in the EU spent about 8.5% of their disposable income on energy in 2014, around double the proportion spent by the average household (European Commission DG-Energy 2015). Many lower-income households under-heat their homes, reduce consumption on other essential goods or are forced into debt to meet their energy needs (European Commission DG-Energy 2015). Around 12.5 million people in the EU, or roughly a quarter of the population, cannot afford suitable indoor thermal comfort (Csiba et al. 2016), whilst just over 50 million people, or about one 7th of the population in North America, struggle to meet energy expenditures (Fraser Institute 2016; Gridmates 2016). In China, energy expenditure percentage over total expenditure varies only 1% across income quintiles (National Bureau of Statistics of China 2018), which indicates that measures in buildings retrofit will impact low and high income households equally.

A significant improvement in household energy efficiency, through higher renovation rates and deeper renovation pathways can have a substantial impact in lowering energy bills, which can effectively supplement the amount of money that households are typically able to save each year for asset accumulation, including savings or potential investments (for this study, we will refer to it as the annual household saving rate). Although it broadly differs between solvent households and lower income ones, the annual household savings rate is considered a key factor for development outcomes, since the ability for households to improve their future economic situation depends to an extent on the ability to accumulate assets and make investments. As such, enhanced building retrofit can play a role in the alleviation of not only energy poverty but also general economic poverty in lower-income households.

The impacts of retrofit measures in the urban residential building sector are assessed in this section with regards to the impact on the household’s final expenditure on energy related to spatial heating and cooling, and the potential effects on annual household saving rates.
Reduction of household primary energy consumption

While retrofit activity under the reference scenario in the European Union, North America and China may reduce energy demand by an average of 10%, best practice examples have shown that existing buildings can be retrofitted to meet the energy characteristics of new residential buildings (BPIE 2016) (see scenarios in section 4.3). As such, the average energy efficiency improvements for retrofitted buildings over the entire period of analysis between 2015 and 2030 under this scenario will be of 63% in cities of the European Union, 54% in North America and 21% in Chinese cities13. The rate is somewhat lower for China over the 2015-2030 period on average, due to the delayed onset of the enhanced action scenario targets in non-OECD regions (see section 4.4).

Figure 7: Reduction of energy demand for spatial heating and cooling in the urban residential building stock in 2030.

These energy efficiency improvements apply to the specific individual buildings where retrofit measures take place during the period, independent of the rate of retrofit. The difference between the scenarios for the overall urban residential building stock in 2030, considering the rates of retrofit, is more profound. Figure 7 shows that the average annual energy demand for spatial heating and cooling of households in the three regions may be 9-28% lower in 2030 under the enhanced action scenario, compared to the current demand. The reduction under the reference scenario would be just 2%.

Household savings and wealth

Household savings and wealth are assessed based on the impacts of reduced household energy expenditure on annual household cash flows, disposable incomes and typical household annual saving rates. The analysis considers the individual average household. The annual household saving rate refers to the financial resources households have available each year after all expenditure from essential needs for use towards increasing assets and making investments; while the household’s disposable income refers to the household’s total income, after taxes.

For both the reference scenario and enhanced retrofit scenarios, the actual impacts for the individual households’ financial flows will depend on the financing model. For the purpose of focusing on the analysis the theoretical impacts of the measures, this study’s estimated payback period for investments assumes that the household occupants cover the full costs of renovations. In reality, the financing mechanisms would vary widely depending on the policy options, ownership structures and instruments for implementation of the measures14.

Annual expenditure on energy for spatial heating and cooling for the average household in 2030 is projected to be approximately EUR 1,470 in the European Union, EUR 880 in North America and EUR 248 in China, under a reference scenario. The significant difference between the regions is owing to the considerably lower prices of energy in North America, which are projected to prevail. Under the enhanced action scenario, this energy expenditure will decrease by about EUR 1,168 for the average retrofitted household in the EU and by around EUR 396 for the average household in North America after the payback of the investment, compared to savings of approximately EUR 147 and EUR 56, respectively, which would have happened under the reference scenario. Chinese cities have the lowest energy expenditure decrease of the three regions, EUR 69.

For this study, we assume a yearly savings rate of 4.2% for EU, 5.4% for North America and 38.1% for China, throughout the period between 2015 and 2030. The difference between these savings rates can be partially attributed to the fact that social security contributions are significantly higher in the EU than in North America (Alberto Alesina 2001). China’s high growth in household savings in the past decades is partially justified by the high growth in incomes, political uncertainty and pension reforms (Chamon et al. 2013; Aaberge et al. 2017).

Figure 8 shows the reduction in energy expenditure for each region next to the annual household savings, showing the impact that this reduced expenditure could have on increasing households’ savings: through reduced expenditure for spatial heating and cooling in the enhanced action scenario, households could effectively increase their annual savings after the payback period by approximately 60% (or close to 1,170 EUR annually) in the European Union and 10% (or about 385 EUR annually) in North America.

The impact for household savings and wealth in China is rather low, approximately 2%, due to high level of household savings and relatively low energy expenditure.
Implications for the lowest income quintile

Annual household savings rates are significantly lower for low-income households. In 2015, the average household savings for households in the lowest-income quintile was EUR 20 in the European Union and EUR 1,100 in China, compared to an average savings of over EUR 22,000 and EUR 10,400 for households in the highest-income quintile, in the European Union and China respectively. In a more extreme case, the average North American household in the lowest-income quintile currently has negative savings rates, spending approximately EUR 11,000 per year more than their disposable income, while households in the highest-income quintile are saving about EUR 30,000 per year (Eurostat 2017; Government of Canada 2017; United States Department of Labor 2017; National Bureau of Statistics of China 2017). In addition, energy expenditures of lower income households usually form a larger relative proportion of their overall expenditures. As such, reduced expenditures for energy consumption makes a far higher proportional difference to disposable incomes and saving rates for lower income households.

Figure 9 shows that while a financial benefit of retrofit activities exists for households in lowest and highest quintiles, the relative benefit for lower income households is higher: disposable income of a European household in the lowest quintile could increase by about 4.9% through the enhanced retrofit scenario, compared to a 2.1% increase households in the highest income quintile. Similarly, for North America, a household in the lowest quintile could potentially increase its disposable income by 3.2%, compared to a 0.5% increase households in the highest income quintile. This effect is more modest in China, compared to the other regions, with the increase in disposable income staying at around 0.3-0.4%, for both highest and lowest household quintiles.

The disparity between quintiles for the impacts of reduced energy expenditure on household annual savings rates in the European Union and North America are even greater than the impacts on disposable income: the lowest quintile household in the European Union could increase its saving rate about 40 times through energy reductions from retrofits in 2030, while saving rates of higher income quintiles could increase around 7.4% for the same year. For North American households, lower income groups currently have a negative savings rates, meaning they spend more than what they receive as income, this limits their opportunities to save money, increase their assets or make investments. Our calculations show that the negative savings rates of the households in the lowest income quintiles could be alleviated and reduced by 3% until 2030 by reducing energy use -and thus, expenditure- through building retrofits. Higher income quintiles could increase their savings by 2.3% until 2030 by implementing these retrofit measures. Even under a modest enhancement, the impact on savings rate is higher for lowest quintile households, 3.2%, when compared to highest quintile households, 1.6%, in China.

---

15 The household statistics presented here refer to the average household (including urban and rural households).

16 It is likely that a proportion of the savings coming from energy retrofits will be used for new expenditures, rather than only contributing to increase household’s saving rates. As such, the figures given are maximum potentials which may contribute to increased saving rates and/or increased expenditures, both of which may contribute to enhanced economic welfare.
These projections demonstrate how residential building retrofit is particularly beneficial for low-income groups, whilst not incurring any disadvantages to other groups. The calculations assume that retrofit activity shows no prejudice to lower and upper income groups, and that retrofit activity is spread equally amongst the building stock, regardless of the economic situation of household occupants. Whether this assumption can hold in reality is dependent on the design of the specific policies and instruments with which the measures are implemented. This highlights the importance of policy design considerations and financial mechanisms available, especially considering that costs of capital are much higher and access to credit is much more difficult for those households in the lowest income quintiles.

4.6 EXPERIENCES FROM LONDON, TORONTO AND KWADUKUZA AND STEVE TSHWETE MUNICIPALITIES

Case studies from London and Toronto illustrate, at the local level, how residential energy efficiency retrofit is delivering GHG emission reductions while also delivering multiple benefits to the population.

4.6.1 London

Emissions from buildings account for 77% of London’s total emissions (BSI 2014). Retrofitting the built environment across the city is an essential strategy, given that at least 80% of London’s existing buildings will still be standing by 2050 (Regeneris 2016), and considering that 11% of households in London, including those within the social sector, are deemed to be fuel poor (Mayor of London 2016). RE:NEW is a programme to help make London’s homes more energy efficient, providing opportunities to help householders to reduce fuel bills, stimulate the local economy, and achieve wider priorities such as health-related outcomes. RE:NEW offers free technical support to landlords and mixed tenure schemes at every stage of the retrofit process, from initial strategy and reviewing the retrofit potential to funding and procurement support. Since 2009, RE:NEW has helped improve the energy efficiency of over 130,205 of London’s homes, saving around 46,000 tCO2, a year and approximately £8.85m in annual energy bill savings (Mayor of London 2018). Program data suggests that retrofitting homes has led to over 2,100 person-years of employment being supported.

Figure 10. A housing estate in London.

// THE MAYOR IS COMMITTED TO MAKING LONDON A ZERO-CARBON CITY BY 2050 AND PROGRAMMES LIKE RE:NEW ARE KEY TO HELPING US MEET THIS AMBITION AND REDUCE FUEL POVERTY. OVER 130,000 LONDON HOMES HAVE BENEFITED FROM THE RE:NEW RETROFITTING PROGRAMME, SAVING £8.85M IN ANNUAL ENERGY BILLS AND LOWERING EMISSIONS. MOREOVER, EVIDENCE SUGGESTS THAT THE BENEFITS OF IMPROVING OUR HOMES GO BEYOND BILLS AND EMISSIONS, INCLUDING SUSTAINING JOBS IN THE RETROFIT SECTOR AND IMPROVING HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR RESIDENTS IN LONDON. //

SHIRLEY RODRIGUES, DEPUTY MAYOR FOR ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY
4.6.2 Toronto

Buildings generate approximately half of the greenhouse gas emissions in Toronto, as reported to C40 in accordance with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate & Energy. As many of these residential dwellings were built prior to the advent of energy efficiency standards in the Ontario building code (1986), the City of Toronto recognised this as an opportunity to address climate change. The municipality identified energy efficiency retrofits of single-family homes and multi-residential buildings as a strategic priority to reduce GHG emissions by 80% by 2050, as outlined in the programme TransformTO – the Climate Action Program for a Healthy, Equitable, and Prosperous Toronto (City of Toronto 2017b). The City has established a goal to retrofit all existing buildings by 2050 to the highest emission reduction technically feasible, achieving an average a 40% energy performance improvement over 2017 levels, while maintaining affordability for residents. Launched in 2014, the Residential Energy Retrofit Pilot Program operates as two streams – the Home Energy Loan Program (HELP) and the High-rise Retrofit Improvement Support Program (Hi-RIS)(City of Toronto 2017a). Through a ‘one-window’ service delivery model, property owners gain access to financing, utility rebates and incentives and support services. Initial findings, from January 2014 to December 2017, demonstrate the wide benefits of the programme and encourage its continued development to address the full untapped potential of the sector: approximately 90 jobs were created by the projects taking place in these years.

// IMPROVING THE ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS, THROUGH PROGRAMS SUCH AS HELP AND HI-RIS, ALSO IMPROVES HOUSING AFFORDABILITY AND CREATES JOBS. OUR EFFORTS TO RETROFIT BUILDINGS NEED TO BE DRAMATICALLY ACCELERATED TO ACHIEVE TORONTO’S LOW-CARBON GOALS AS ENVISIONED BY TRANSFORMTO. //

MIKE LAYTON, 
TORONTO CITY COUNCILLOR

KwaDukuza and Steve Tshwete Municipalities, South Africa

The municipalities of KwaDukuza and Steve Tshwete have been designated as model intermediary cities by ICLEI’s Urban-LEDS project, which gathers a group of local governments and communities that are at the forefront of feasible, sustainable, and impactful climate action. In 2015, after years of consultation and development, the two South African municipalities published green building guidelines designed to encourage building retrofits and provide recommendations, tools, and best practice references for local designers, developers and building operators (KwaDukuza Local Municipality 2015; Steve Tshwete Local Municipality 2015).

Building retrofits can reduce operation costs, improve structural integrity, and foster safer built environments across the two municipalities’ existing housing stock. Retrofitting is therefore encouraged as a pathway to both mitigate building-related GHG emissions and optimize a wider set of socioeconomic benefits. Local officials, in conjunction with implementation partners ICLEI and UN-HABITAT, highlight that both aesthetic changes and building system upgrades can increase local employment opportunities (KwaDukuza Local Municipality, 2015; Steve Tshwete Local Municipality, 2015). These employment opportunities may generate the development of skills and knowledge across the local labor market, specifically on building construction, retrofits, operations and maintenance, bringing safer and better homes, as well as potential jobs, to the local economy.

Figure 11. City of Toronto. Photo credit: C40, Toronto Environment Office

Sources


4.7/ OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY RETROFIT

Energy efficiency is the most cost-effective measure for securing the reliability of the energy system reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the energy sector, while delivering outcomes for the economy, prosperity, social inclusion and other development agendas.

Despite the vast potential for energy and cost savings through deep retrofit of residential buildings, energy efficiency in the building sector remains one of the least exploited cost-effective mitigation measures available. This is caused by short-sighted investment planning, split incentives between building owners and renters, lack of ability for communal action in multi-family buildings, and lack of policy support, amongst diverse other factors.

The findings from this report present the evidence base for accelerated actions for deep energy efficiency retrofits in the residential building sector. It equips city, national governments, private sector and citizens with several reasons for a joint collaborative effort toward this goal. Case studies from London and Toronto illustrate, at the local level, how this action is delivering GHG emission reduction while also addressing multiple benefits to the population.

Deeper retrofit results in a far greater volume of job creation, including local jobs for unskilled, skilled and professionals. Depending on the financing structure and the duration of the payback period, the eventual annual cost savings can be great enough to effectively increase the annual savings rate of households by significant volumes.

Building retrofit, if implementation is carefully planned, can be a particularly beneficial measure for low-income populations. The scale of potential job creation in the European Union, China and North America is equivalent to 4-12% of the unemployed populations in these regions. Reduced energy expenditures can boost household disposable incomes of the lowest income quintile in North America by a rate that is six times greater than the impact for the highest income quintile. For lower-income households who spend a highly significant portion of their income on energy bills, energy efficiency retrofits have the potential to alleviate not only energy poverty but also general economic poverty.

Additional measures for optimizing energy use in residential buildings, that were not within the scope of this research report, have the potential to further enhance the impacts of the measure. Additional measures found by McKinsey & C40 Cities (2017) to have considerable emission reduction potential in cities include standards for new buildings, technological improvements to energy supply systems including HVAC appliances and water heating and modernisation of lighting technologies.

Building retrofit could reduce emissions by 250 MtCO₂e by 2030

HEALTH IMPACTS:
- Reduced air pollution-related mortality due to less fossil fuel combustion
- Increased safety due to better lighting and mould abatement

SOCIAL IMPACTS:
- Safety from new building technologies
- Better to navigate/more accommodating spaces
- Ability to maintain comfortable temperatures
- Assistance of energy efficient programmes to low-income and social housing
- Increased household savings through building retrofit

ECONOMIC IMPACTS:
- Reduced expenditure on fuel and electricity
- Creation of unskilled, skilled and professional jobs
- Cost savings through reduced dependence on fossil fuels
- Increase in number of jobs

Increase the depth of energy efficiency retrofits
Increase the rate at which buildings are retrofitted

European Union 63%
North America 54%
China 21%

Reduced expenditure on fuel and electricity
Creation of unskilled, skilled and professional jobs
Cost savings through reduced dependence on fossil fuels
Increase in number of jobs

Over 1,000,000 jobs created
Around 1,500,000 jobs created
Over 1,000,000 jobs created

Increased household savings through building retrofit
- European Union 63% increase
- North America 10% increase
- China 2% increase

Energy efficiency improvements through building retrofit

In summary, energy efficiency retrofits in residential buildings can deliver substantial economic, social and health benefits. The scale of potential job creation suggests a significant contribution to the fight against climate change while also addressing energy poverty and general economic poverty.
Under the reference scenario, bus network infrastructure will continue to develop at a moderate rate in all regions up to 2030, whilst overall urban transport activity increases by approximately 13%, 20% and 67% in North America, Latin America and South Asia, respectively. Increases in the use of private light duty vehicles (LDVs) accounts for the majority of the changes. These trajectories may cause premature mortality associated with air pollution to increase to nearly 2 million annual deaths in cities across the three regions, and over 5 million worldwide, whilst road traffic fatalities in these cities will also increase to nearly 400,000 per year, and over 1 million worldwide.

An enhanced bus networks scenario, where bus network coverage and service frequency are increased up to 2030 by more than a factor of two in South Asia and Latin America and by a factor of 3.5 in North America, the proportion of bus routes that operated on dedicated lanes is increased to 22-24%, and the share of low- and zero-carbon bus technologies reaches a 100% share of the bus vehicle stock by 2030, is estimated to result in the following outcomes and impacts:

- The share of private light duty vehicles (LDVs) in the urban transport mix may reduce by 21% in North America and by 35% in Latin America and South Asia, compared to the reference scenario.
- The reduction of vehicle traffic in cities will cause a reduction in annual GHG emissions in 2030 by approximately 120 MtCO₂e in North America, 110 MtCO₂e in Latin America and 85 MtCO₂e in South Asia.
- The reduction of vehicle traffic in cities will also cause a reduction in average exposure to excessive ambient air pollution, leading to the prevention of approximately 160,000 premature deaths per year in South Asia, 22,500 in Latin America and 5,000 in North America, compared to the reference scenario.
- The reduction of traffic volumes will reduce the frequency of road traffic accidents, leading to the prevention of around 110,000 fatalities per year in South Asia, 20,000 in Latin America and over 4,000 in North America, compared to the reference scenario.
- The introduction of dedicated private bus lanes could reduce the commute times of the average public transport user by 6-11%, equivalent to a total potential annual time saving of 7.6 billion hours for commuters in South Asia, 6.9 billion hours in Latin America and 1.1 billion hours in North America.

At the global level, an enhanced bus networks scenario could prevent the premature deaths of nearly one million people worldwide from ambient air pollution and road fatalities, each year, whilst potentially saving a total of over 40 billion hours per year for 1 billion bus commuters, equivalent to around 20 million additional full-time employees over the period of a year.
Cities around the world are working towards improving the efficiency and sustainability of urban transport systems for the enhanced mobility of urban populations. Private car ownership is not only a source of mounting traffic congestion, but also an important cause of local air pollution, and mounting social disparities (ITDP 2017). Countries and subnational governments have identified modal shift to public transport, as well as measures to avoid unnecessary journeys and improve the efficiency of travel (see ASI Avoid-Shift-Improve concept, GIZ 2004), as a key strategy for reducing emissions whilst increasing equity in urban mobility and accessibility.

Several cities have developed passenger transport systems that result in rather progressive rates of public transport usage: for example, the share of private vehicle travel for urban passenger transport in 2011 was just 11% in Hong Kong, 12% in Tokyo, 15% in Bogotá and 7% in Paris (LTA, 2011; Omnì, 2012). Sustainable transport modes also accounted for more than 70% of passenger transport activity in Barcelona, Vienna and Prague in 2015 (UITP 2015). While these cities provide positive examples of urban transport systems that are moving in a sustainable direction, this does not mean that a shift to sustainable transport will be an easy undertaking: in many cities, across the world, there is considerable lock-in to infrastructure and urban planning decisions that favour less sustainable modes of transport, particularly private vehicle use. Achieving the targets identified in the literature for modal shift and transport demand management will require carefully planned policies and a high level of ambition to implement sustainable systems. A broader appreciation of the cross-sectoral impacts and benefits of sustainable transport could play a key role in catalysing the required ambition.

This chapter looks at some of the impacts of specific measures for improvement of bus networks and incentivising the use of public transport, including enhancements to the density of the bus network, the frequency of bus services, the usage of dedicated segregated bus lanes or fully-fledged bus rapid transit (BRT), and the uptake of zero carbon technologies in the vehicle stock.

5.1/ IMPORTANCE OF ENHANCED BUS NETWORKS AND BUS SERVICES IN CITIES

Urban vehicle traffic is a significant contributor to GHG emissions globally. Global greenhouse gas emissions from the transport sector excluding aviation and shipping grew more than from any other sector in previous decades, increasing from approximately 2.6 GtCO₂e in 1970, to approximately 7.6 GtCO₂e in 2014 (Smiths et al. 2014; IEA 2017a). Urban areas account for approximately 50% of these emissions (IEA 2016). Despite its rapid growth in previous decades, emissions from the sector look to continue to grow at a considerable rate, in particular due to anticipated growth of transport demand and activity in developing countries. Under current policy projections, global transport sector emissions excluding shipping and aviation are projected to increase from 7.6 GtCO₂e in 2014 to approximately 10-11 GtCO₂e in 2050. In contrast, compatibility of the sector with the goals of the Paris Agreement would require transport emissions to significantly reduce to 2-3 GtCO₂e by 2050 (IEA 2017a).

Achieving major reductions in transport sector emissions requires going beyond technological improvements for enhanced energy- and emissions-intensity of transport activity, to the broader transformation of the transport sector. The Avoid-Shift-Improve paradigm, that is currently pursued by many policy makers, includes travel demand reduction and mass modal shift to public transportation, as well as technological efficiency improvements (GIZ 2004). The urban action scenario of the Deadline 2020 report (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016) indicates a mitigation potential by 2050 of approximately 300 MtCO₂e/year from enhanced bus services and bus rapid transit in cities of the C40 network worldwide, identifying this as the most important measure for reducing emissions in urban transport in these cities.

The provision of enhanced bus networks to shift private vehicle users to public transport networks is a key priority for many cities. Problems associated with excessive volumes of single occupancy private vehicles manifest themselves as major everyday issues for most city dwellers: time lost to rush hour congestion, accessibility disadvantages and health-endangering local air pollution are examples of major issues that most city dwellers accept and deal with on a daily basis.

Measures to improve these conditions through bus network enhancement are tangible and have high visibility within a relatively short period of time, which should make such measures attractive to politicians at the subnational level. Since subnational governance usually exercise strong power over urban public transport, often through direct ownership or influence in investments and operational of public transport assets, enhanced bus networks are a feasible and attractive option.

6/ AIR POLLUTION CAUSED BY PETROL AND DIESEL VEHICLES IS KILLING MILLIONS OF PEOPLE IN CITIES AROUND THE WORLD. WORKING WITH CITIZENS, BUSINESSES AND MAYORS OF THESE GREAT CITIES17, WE WILL CREATE GREEN AND HEALTHY STREETS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO ENJOY. //

ENRIQUE PENALOSA, MAYOR OF BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA (2013)

ANNE HIDALGO, MAYOR OF PARIS AND C40 CHAIR

17 The mayors of London, Paris, Los Angeles, Copenhagen, Barcelona, Quito, Mexico City, Milan, Seattle, Auckland & Cape Town committed to a series of ambitious targets, including procuring zero-emission buses from 2025 to make their cities greener, healthier and more prosperous by signing the C40 Fossil-Fuel-Free Streets Declaration in October 2017. (https://www.c40.org/other/fossil-fuel-free-streets-declaration)
Transport systems are so deeply integrated in urban planning and urban lifestyles, that the impacts of improvements in public bus transportation networks are felt beyond the users of the networks, also extending to businesses, city dwellers and the wider economy. Table 8 gives an overview of some of the key potential impacts from the improvement of bus networks and services including considerations on equity. Both positive (“+”) and negative (“−”) impacts are listed.

Table 8: Overview of some potential direct and indirect outcomes and impacts from improvement of bus networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF IMPACTS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF OUTCOMES AND SPECIFIC IMPACTS</th>
<th>EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety impacts</td>
<td>Health benefits from improved air quality due to reduced traffic (reduced emissions of local air pollutants from vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced fatal and non-fatal injuries (due to reduced congestion and improved transport safety)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stress reduction due to enhanced quality of environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health impact from increased exercise in between public transport journeys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Individuals)</td>
<td>Higher disposable income for households (cost savings from reduced of car ownership and maintenance)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved employment opportunities due to improved mobility: better access to jobs and services due to reduced congestion, reduced journey times, and enhanced public transport links</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential reduced price of public transport for users due to reduced marginal cost of service from the increase in ridership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternatively, price of public transport could increase to users if expensive investments are to be recouped, depending on the implementation model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wider economy)</td>
<td>Cost savings and enhanced energy security through reduced dependence on fossil fuels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased productivity through reduced travel time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy conservation for use in other economic activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technology spill-overs and enhanced development of markets for advanced technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential loss of jobs in car manufacturing industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunity costs of investments in expensive public infrastructure, unless private finance is leveraged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved air quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noise reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced greenhouse gas emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved water quality from reduced polluting emissions and fluid leaks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced habitat fragmentation when linked with strategic land-use planning objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ elaboration based on VTPI (VTPI 2015), Broaddus et al. (Broaddus et al. 2009), Sims et al. (Sims et al. 2014).
5.3/ SCOPE OF ANALYSIS

Regions

The analysis of benefits and impacts for enhanced bus networks is presented in this report for cities within North America, Latin America and South Asia. These regions were selected for analysis due to the differences between the present structure of urban transport sector, and differences in projected activity trends. Enhancement of bus networks and services is a key issue in the cities of all three regions, with great opportunities for GHG emission reduction. A summary of the present situation of urban transportation in these regions is presented in Table 9. The information shows that the regions are very different from one another with regards to the starting situation for urban passenger transportation. Whilst urban planning and infrastructure investments in many North American cities were based around optimal compatibility with private light duty vehicles (LDVs), leading to a major reliance on this mode of transport, modes of transport in Latin American and South Asian cities are far more variable. Many cities in these regions currently see major new infrastructure developments, for which there is a significant risk of lock-in and a need for integrated urban planning, whilst transport sector planning in some other cities remains underdeveloped. Another key difference between the regions is that whilst Latin America and South Asia will still have to make significant investments in new transportation infrastructure for substantial projected increases in travel demand over the coming decades, offering the option to plan for more sustainable transport trajectories, a significant modal shift in North America will require the re-design and replacement of existing infrastructure, due to an already considerable degree of perceived lock-in. These different starting positions, combined with behavioural differences and perceptions of the bus systems, may have implications for the extent to which measures for enhanced bus networks can affect modal shift away from private to public transport.

Table 9: Situation of urban transportation in North America and Latin America in 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NORTH AMERICA</th>
<th>LATIN AMERICA</th>
<th>SOUTH ASIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban population&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>290 million</td>
<td>505 million</td>
<td>635 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily commuters&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>130 million</td>
<td>210 million</td>
<td>210 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total urban passenger-kilometres for all modes&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.5 trillion</td>
<td>2.7 trillion</td>
<td>2.5 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(projected growth of 13% up to 2050)</td>
<td>1.2 trillion</td>
<td>(projected growth of 20% up to 2030)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban bus passenger-kilometres&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>270 billion</td>
<td>820 billion</td>
<td>860 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(projected growth of 20% up to 2030)</td>
<td>passenger-kilometres</td>
<td>passenger-kilometres</td>
<td>passenger-kilometres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation activity per capita&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12 km per capita per day</td>
<td>5.4 km per capita per day</td>
<td>4 km per capita per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal split of urban transport&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90% light duty vehicles; 8% bus; 2% other.</td>
<td>57% light duty vehicles; 30% bus; 13% other.</td>
<td>17% light duty vehicles; 35% bus; 48% other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities with BRT&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18 cities, with network length of 448 km (&lt;10% of all BRT systems in cities globally); 810,000 passengers per day</td>
<td>54 cities, with network length of 1,757 km (23% of all BRT systems in cities globally); 19.4 million passengers per day</td>
<td>7 cities, with network length of 174 km (add % global?); 340,000 passengers per day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1 – World Development Indicators (World Bank 2017); 2 – OECD (2017b); 3 – OECD (2017a); 4 – Authors’ calculations; 5 – BRT Data (BRT Centre of Excellence et al. 2017); Muñoz et al (2013).

<sup>1</sup> For this analysis, North America includes Canada and the United States of America; Mexico is analysed within the Latin America group. The Latin America group also includes the Caribbean. The South Asia group includes Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.
Scenario parameters

While many measures are available to improve the transport networks of a country or region, in this study we focus only on those related to public buses and bus services, leaving out measures related to light rail systems or mass rapid transit (MRT) systems, which might include on-street or underground trains. The analysis for the potential of enhanced bus networks considers the impacts of the following measures:

- **Increasing network coverage of bus system**: Increases in the total network coverage increase the network density, which increases the feasibility and convenience of access to the public transport network for the population.
- **Increased frequency of bus service**: Increases in the frequency of service, through the provision of more buses, facilitate an increase in the hourly ridership capacity, and also improve the convenience of public transport and the attractiveness of its use.
- **Usage of dedicated bus lanes / bus rapid transit**: Cities can demarcate lanes of existing roads for use as dedicated bus lanes with limited or no access to other vehicles. Lanes or busways can also be constructed specifically for buses. A further extension on this concept is the introduction of complete bus rapid transit (BRT) systems. Dedicated lanes are the key feature of BRT systems, which also often feature prioritisation of bus traffic at intersections, and features to maximise the efficiency of the boarding and off-boarding process, for example through sophisticated stations with raised platforms and ticket machines. Well planned and enforced dedicated bus lanes and BRT systems can increase the speed and reliability of conventional bus systems, increasing the attractiveness of their use.
- **Penetration of low carbon buses in the vehicle stock**: A variation of definitions on low carbon emission buses exist. Some consider buses to be low carbon technology if they run on any fuel but achieve specified emission reductions compared to current efficiency standards. In other sources only low or zero emission technologies are included, such as fuel cell or electric drive technologies. The analysis in this study considers primarily the latter under the category of low carbon buses. The rate at which low- and zero-carbon buses can be introduced to the public transport fleet may depend upon the age of the existing fleet and the options available for decommissioning older vehicles.

Section 5.4 provides details on the scenarios assessed in this analysis.

**Impacts**

This study focuses on the outcomes and impacts of enhanced bus networks in cities for **premature mortality from outdoor air pollution**, **reduced road fatalities** and **available personal time**, measured through **potential commuter time savings**.

- **Change in premature mortality from outdoor air pollution** is assessed based on the impact of transport system changes on the emissions of air pollutants, and the consequent changes in the concentration of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) in urban areas. This includes all-cause mortality from PM$_{2.5}$.
- **The assessment of road fatalities** is associated with changes in the volumes of traffic on public roadways and the consequent changes in the number of accidents. This includes all fatalities that are linked to traffic accidents on public roadways, including amongst vehicle passengers, other road users and pedestrians.
- **The analysis of potential time savings for commuters** assesses the extent to which the segregation of bus lanes through the use of modern BRT or conventional private bus lanes may reduce commute times for public transport users, and the extent to which the reduced commute times may increase mobility and accessibility to economic opportunities for peri-urban populations.
- **These impacts were selected for analysis based upon analysis from C40 and input from C40 cities on the most relevant issues for decision makers, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis.**

### Table 10: Scenarios for analysis of impacts of enhanced bus networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE SCENARIO (2015-2030)</th>
<th>EUROPEAN UNION</th>
<th>NORTH AMERICA</th>
<th>SOUTH ASIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network length of bus system</td>
<td>-6% increase</td>
<td>-10% increase</td>
<td>-25% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of bus service</td>
<td>-6% increase</td>
<td>-10% increase</td>
<td>-25% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage of dedicated bus lanes</td>
<td>Remaining constant (~1% of total network length)</td>
<td>Remaining constant (~4% of total network length)</td>
<td>Remaining constant (~4% of total network length)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of zero-carbon buses</td>
<td>Remaining constant at &lt;1%</td>
<td>Remaining constant at &lt;1%</td>
<td>Remaining constant at &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Growth of transport activity)</td>
<td>-13% increase</td>
<td>-20% increase</td>
<td>-67% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Share of public transport)</td>
<td>Remaining constant (7-8%)</td>
<td>Remaining constant (29%)</td>
<td>Reducing from 58% in 2015 to 32% in 2030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4/ SCENARIOS FOR ENHANCED ACTION

Table 10 introduces the scenarios which are selected for analysis based upon analysis from C40 and input from C40 cities on the most relevant issues for decision makers, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis. For North America, Latin America and South Asia in this study, the enhanced bus networks scenario parameters would result, according to the model, in reducing private vehicle usage in North America by approximately 21%, compared to the reference scenario case, and by 35% compared to the reference case in both Latin America and South Asia. This is in line with the potential identified in the robust governance scenario of the ITF Transportation outlook 2017 (OECD 2017a). This outcome would also be in line with the identified potential from ARUP & C40 Cities’ urban action scenario (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016), the IEA’s BLUE Shifts scenario (IEA 2009b), and the 2DS scenario from IEA’s ETP (IEA 2016b).

---

36 See the separate Technical/White paper document for further information on the construction of the methodologies.
37 A review of a range of different scenarios for the construction of the methodologies was carried out to determine the most relevant issues for decision makers, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis.
The share of zero-carbon buses reaches 100% by 2030. The implementation of measures to enhance bus networks can have a significant impact on reducing private vehicle activity in 2030. Figure 12 shows that measures could achieve a 21% reduction in LDV activity in North American cities by 2030, and as much as a 35% reduction in South Asia and Latin America[23]. In North America, the enhanced bus network scenario would see LDV activity fall to around 10% below its 2015 level, with total vehicle-kilometres travelled and road congestion also falling. These shifts would be initiated by rapid increases in the number of bus riders under the enhanced bus networks scenario, with bus passenger activity increasing nearly three-fold in Latin American and South Asian cities and increasing by a factor of five in North America between 2015 and 2030. An overall increase in the passenger kilometres travelled can be observed in all regions under the enhanced action scenario, compared to the reference scenario; this is due to the enhanced mobility options that improved public transport may entail for those who previously had a lack of access to private or public transport for some journeys. The enhanced action scenarios would reduce GHG emissions by approximately 120 MtCO₂e in North America, 110 MtCO₂e in Latin America and 85 MtCO₂e in South Asia in 2030, compared to the reference scenario. The implications of the scenarios for GHG emission reductions are explored in more detail in section 5.5.1.

See Annex I for information on calculation methodology.

Figure 12 shows how the three analysed regions have very different starting situations when it comes to transportation activity and modal split. Transportation activity in urban areas added up to approximately 12 km per capita per day in North America in 2015, of which private vehicle use accounted for 91%. By contrast, urban transportation activity in South Asia was less than 4 km per capita per day in 2015, with private light duty vehicles (LDV) accounting for only 17% of this activity. Whilst the United States accounted for the largest volume of private motorised urban transportation in the world in 2015, major Latin American cities have positioned themselves as world leaders in the piloting and further development of BRT systems. 61% of BRT transportation activity worldwide is in Latin America, where these networks transport approximately 19.4 million passengers per day (BRT Centre of Excellence et al. 2017).

The high share of LDVs use in North America is projected to further increase in the coming decades under a reference scenario, along with total passenger transportation activity, as Figure 12 shows. Just two modes of motorised transport carried major significance in the region in 2015, with private vehicles and buses accounting for approximately 99% of urban journeys collectively, and activity within both of these modes is projected to increase by approximately 20% up to 2030, maintaining the current modal split shares. This projection represents a feasible, yet conservative estimate; whilst the reference scenario for North America in this analysis is in line with estimates from most studies (e.g. OECD 2015; OECD 2017a). Other models (e.g. ICCT 2012) project a far higher increase in activity of private vehicles, which would push the modal split share of private vehicles even higher.

A significant increase in urban passenger transportation activity is projected for South Asia and Latin America, where urban population growth, economic development and increased mobility for previously disadvantaged groups will provide an upwards driving force on transport demand. Accounting for growth in overall transport activity, this entails roughly a 100% increase of LDV activity between 2015 and 2030 in South Asian cities, and 23% in Latin American cities. This scenario could cause significant problems for cities in these regions, many of which already face considerable struggles with current levels of urban congestion, and the negative implications that it incurs; the rapid increase in urban population in recent decades alongside a relative lack of urban planning interventions has led to a diverse, yet underdeveloped and fractured urban transportation system (Jirón 2013), and the absorption capacity for increased traffic volumes is particularly limited in many cities.

In the enhanced bus networks scenario, major network improvements are implemented by 2030 for increasing bus network coverage and service frequency as far as required as estimated by the model in order to increase passenger numbers in line with the Robust Governance scenario of ITF 2017 (OECD 2017a). Dedicated bus lanes or BRT corridors are introduced to cover 50% of the bus network by 2050; it is assumed that these measures will require gradual investments which will be complete by 2050, with partial progress by 2030, as indicated in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EUROPEAN UNION</th>
<th>NORTH AMERICA</th>
<th>SOUTH ASIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network length of bus system (2030 compared to 2015)</td>
<td>~250% increase</td>
<td>&gt;100% increase</td>
<td>&gt;100% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of bus service (2030 compared to 2015)</td>
<td>~250% increase</td>
<td>&gt;100% increase</td>
<td>&gt;100% increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage of dedicated bus lanes (2030)</td>
<td>Increase to 22%</td>
<td>Increase to 24%</td>
<td>Increase to 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of zero-carbon buses (2030)</td>
<td>Increase to 100%</td>
<td>Increase to 100%</td>
<td>Increase to 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Urban transport is a major contributor to the health risks of air pollutants; pollutants from vehicles are emitted directly into the streets on which the majority of the population move, work and live. Congested areas in inner-cities are the places with both the highest density of local air pollutant emissions from vehicles and the highest density of human activity. Enhanced bus networks and measures to affect modal shift can reduce the emissions of air pollutants in cities, and the associated health impacts, by reducing the number of polluting vehicles on the street, by reducing the emission of pollutants from public transportation vehicles, and by reducing the amount of congestion which leads to higher emissions per kilometre travelled.

In this section, several measures for enhanced urban public transportation are assessed for their impacts on shifts in passenger transportation activity and emissions from urban transportation, with consequent impacts for outdoor concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) and its effect on premature mortality. The analysis includes all-cause mortality from air pollution exposure but does not include other significant impacts on human health and the related economic and social costs from non-letal conditions such as chronic and acute bronchitis, or asthma.

Figure 13 shows that the average exposure to concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ exceeded the WHO’s guidelines of 10 ug/m$^3$ by nearly two times in Latin America in 2015, and by nearly eight times in South Asia. Pollution levels are considerably worse in South Asian and Latin American cities, although North American cities currently have far higher emissions, both from the transport sector as shown in Figure 13, but also from other sectors. There are several reasons for this apparent mismatch: firstly, local climatic conditions are a major factor influencing the propensity of air pollutants to remain in the local ambient area; secondly, emissions of pollutants from less compact cities – such as the typically sprawled cities of North America – are diffused across a larger area resulting in lower concentrations; thirdly, developed country regions are more likely to have greater penetration of modern technologies for efficient combustion and cleaner emission exhausts, causing some of the most harmful air pollutants to be partially filtered of emissions; finally, the political framework in terms of environmental quality standards, as well as its enforcement, have proven to be more robust and strict in developed country regions, limiting the concentration of harmful air pollutants that can be released into the ambient.

One in eight of total global deaths in 2012 - around 7 million people – was related to excessive exposure to air pollution (WHO, 2014). Previous research from NewClimate Institute found that enhanced climate change mitigation contributions, in line with trajectories for 100% renewable energy (including the transport sector) by 2050, could result in the reduction of over 2.5 million premature deaths annually by 2030 in India, China, the EU and the US combined (Day et al. 2015).
Of the three focus regions, Figure 13 shows that South Asia has the greatest potential to benefit from alleviated air pollution issues through enhanced bus networks. With average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exposures in the region of 77 ug/m$^3$ in 2015, South Asian cities are some of the world’s polluted. Levels of emissions and air pollutant concentrations look set to rapidly increase in the next decade due to major increase in travel demand, alongside growth in other heavily polluting sectors. Our methodologies estimate that approximately 700,000 premature deaths are caused by ambient air pollution in South Asia each year. What’s more, this burden will continue to increase without significant policy interventions, to over 1.8 million premature deaths each year by 2030. Against this reference, the enhanced bus networks scenario could prevent approximately 160,000 premature deaths in South Asia each year by 2030.

Whilst not at the same scale, the impacts of the enhanced bus networks scenario for air pollution are also profound and compelling for Latin America and North America. In Latin American cities, transport activity is a main source of direct and indirect pollution. On average, urban transport emission are attributed as the source of 31% of fine particulate matter in urban areas in 2015 (Karagulian et al. 2015). The burden of air pollution in the region has been equated to a welfare loss equivalent to 2% of annual GDP (Sánchez et al. 2016). Figure 13 shows that exposure to fine particulate matter in Latin America cities will remain relatively constant under a reference scenario up to 2030, despite considerable increases in activity and emissions from transport. This is due to the anticipated increased uptake of cleaner emitting technologies across all sectors. Nevertheless, large increases in the urban population and the ageing of the urban population mean that the number of annual premature deaths related to air pollution is projected to rise to over 200,000 by 2030. In Latin American cities, the enhanced bus networks scenario could lead to a 25% reduction in the emission of air pollutants from urban passenger transport, compared to the reference scenario, resulting in the prevention of approximately 22,500 premature deaths per year. This scenario would also entail an absolute reduction in the emissions from the sector between 2015 and 2030.

In North America too, outdoor air pollution remains a significant strain on public health in the region, despite improvements in reducing the emissions of air pollutants from vehicles in recent decades. It was estimated that outdoor air pollution of all causes led to the premature deaths of over 150,000 people in United States and Canada in 2012 (Day et al. 2015). The transport sector is the greatest single contributor to this significant health burden (MIT 2013), accounting for over a quarter of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 2015, with urban populations at particularly high threat. Levels of outdoor air pollution and subsequent health impacts are generally projected to improve in North America between 2015 and 2030, under a reference scenario, despite the growth in vehicle traffic, due to a combination of factors. Improvements in energy efficiency, ongoing reductions in the emissions intensity of power generation and industry, and technological improvements that prioritise the reduction of harmful air pollutants from emissions will lead to a general decline in the emissions of air pollutants from power and industry. The consequent reduction in the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in urban areas under the reference scenario may not lead to a significant reduction in the number of air pollution related premature deaths between 2015 and 2030, as shown in Figure 13, since urban population growth and an ageing population will increase the number of people exposed; outdoor air pollution is projected to account for approximately 70,000 premature deaths per year in North America between 2015 and 2030. By 2030, urban transport will be attributable to an increasingly large share of remaining outdoor air pollution: whilst the emissions of air pollutants from other sectors will decrease between 2015 and 2030 under current policy scenarios, the same trend is not projected for the urban transport sector in North America, where technological and efficiency improvements are not projected to adequately compensate for increased activity. Figure 13 shows that the enhanced action scenario for bus networks could lead to the prevention of approximately 5,000 premature deaths each year from air pollution related disease.

At the global level, the enhanced bus network scenario is estimated to lead to the potential prevention of approximately 560,000 premature deaths from ambient air pollution worldwide in 2030, compared to the reference scenario. The distributional spread of the significant health benefits from the enhanced action scenario across different population groups is mixed. These benefits will be most concentrated in areas of high traffic density, typically in inner-cities and/or areas of cities with low development of public transport. Inner city areas may include higher- or lower-income groups, depending on the city structures. Likewise, areas of public transport blackspots could be caused by low demand due to high car ownership in higher-income areas or by the underdevelopment of infrastructure in underserved low-income areas.
Table 11: Scaling up to the global level and scaling down to cities and the C40 and GCoM city networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCALING UP THE RESULTS TO THE GLOBAL LEVEL</th>
<th>The enhanced bus network scenario is estimated to lead to the prevention of additional 560,000 premature deaths from ambient air pollution worldwide in 2030, compared to the reference scenario.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCALING DOWN THE RESULTS TO CITIES</td>
<td>Prevented premature deaths per year in 2030 (compared to reference scenario)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global-level results are indicative approximations based on a methodology to scale up the regional results to the global level (see methodological document chapter 7 for further details). Scaled down results are indicative approximations based on population, rather than a bottom-up evaluation of specific cities. The “Average city” refers to the potential impact in the region for a city of 500,000 population. “C40 network cities” and “GCoM network cities” refer to the potential impact either across all of the C40 Cities or Global covenant of Mayors cities in the region.

5.5.2 Road traffic accident fatalities

Implementing improved bus systems can contribute to reductions in traffic accidents and fatalities in different ways. A shift in transportation activity from private vehicle to public transport results in fewer vehicle-kilometres travelled, fewer drivers on the road and consequently a safer transport environment for drivers, pedestrians and cyclists alike. Dedicated bus lanes also reduce interaction between buses and other vehicles, minimising the risk for traffic crashes between these modes. The expansion of bus services can also be easily delivered alongside improved driver training, since new jobs for drivers will be created by the service expansion.

This section analyses projections for all road fatalities that are linked to traffic accidents on public roadways, including amongst vehicle passengers, other road users and pedestrians.

Figure 14 shows a stark contrast between current rates of fatality between North America, Latin America and South Asia. More than 180,000 road traffic fatalities were recorded in South Asian cities in 2015 (WHO 2017; NHTSA 2013), equating to a rate of 29 deaths per 100,000 capita. This is considerably more severe than the rates of 12 deaths per 100,000 capita in Latin America, and 8 deaths per 100,000 capita in North America, although road fatalities are still one of the major causes of death in the North American region, with over 22,500 deaths in cities in 2015 (WHO 2017; IADB 2015).

In contrast to the goal of SDG 6.3 to reduce global road fatalities by half by 2020, Figure 14 shows fatalities are projected to increase under a reference scenario in all three analysed regions up to 2030 due to further projected increases in urban transport activity and congestion, taking annual fatalities in the three regions combined to approximately 400,000.

The measures levered in the enhanced bus networks scenario could lead to a reduction of more than 130,000 road traffic fatalities per year in the three regions combined, compared to the reference scenario.

Motor vehicle crashes is the leading cause of death amongst 15-29 year olds globally, and within the 10 top causes of death for all other segments of the global population (World Bank 2014). Motor crashes were responsible for 1.25 million deaths in 2013 and it is estimated that road traffic fatalities and injuries account for economic losses equivalent for approximately 3% of GDP globally and 5% of GDP in low- and middle-income countries (WHO 2015). It is a target of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 6.3) to reduce global road fatalities by half by 2020.
The vast majority of this decline is projected from South Asia and Latin America, where approximately 110,000 and 20,000 deaths could be prevented, respectively. Nearly 4,000 deaths could be avoided in 2030 under the enhanced scenario in North America. The large disparity in the potential impact between the regions is due to the significant difference in their starting situation, and also differences in the extent to which the measures are projected to be able to reduce LDV activity and reduce congestion in urban areas.

At the global level, the enhanced bus network scenario is estimated to lead to the potential prevention of approximately 415,000 deaths from road traffic accidents worldwide in 2030, compared to the reference scenario.

The benefits of the enhanced action scenario for reducing fatalities is relevant for all regular road users in the urban area, which includes all population groups across society. Lower-income groups may be slightly more affected by these benefits since higher-income groups generally have a lower exposure to road traffic accidents per km travelled, due to ownership of modern and safer cars, and residence in safer and well-policed areas.

Figure 14: Road traffic accident fatalities in urban areas of North America, Latin America and South Asia.

Table 12: Scaling up to the global level and scaling down to cities and the C40 and GCoM city networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Annual traffic accident fatalities per year in urban areas (2030)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>Average city 6 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network 450 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities 820 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Average city 17 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network 2,400 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities 4,400 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>Average city 60 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C40 network 17,000 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCoM network cities 5,000 prevented deaths</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scaled down results are indicative approximations based on population, rather than a bottom-up evaluation of specific cities. The “Average city” refers to the potential impact in the region for a city of 500,000 population. “C40 network cities” and “GCoM network cities” refer to the potential impact either across all of the C40 Cities or Global Covenant of Mayors cities in the region.
5.5.3 Potential commuter time savings

Traffic congestion is a significant burden for commuters in all regions of the world. In 2015, the commuter in the average city was assessed to have lost around 40 hours per year to congestion during their commute (INRIX 2017). In some cities, including cities in North America, Latin America and South Asia, the average commuter lost over 80 hours during 2015, equivalent to 2 full working weeks. Several countries have estimated the annual costs of congestion for commuters into the billions; in the United States, for example, it is estimated that congestion cost commuters approximately USD 305 billion in 2016 (INRIX 2017).

Congestion is a major cause of excessive commute times, exacerbated by deficiencies in public transport infrastructure in many cities. Other socioeconomic factors such as housing costs also play an important role in determining commute times, since high inner-city housing prices may force or encourage people to move further away from their workplaces. The segregation of buses from public roadways to dedicated lanes can make a considerable impact on travel time reduction for commuters using public transport; bypassing congested roads with dedicated bus lanes may save on average up to 2 minutes per kilometre travelled (Levinson et al. 2003).

This section analyses the extent to which the segregation of bus lanes, through the use of modern BRT or conventional private bus lanes, may reduce commute times for public transport users. We also evaluate the extent to which the reduced commute times may increase mobility and accessibility to economic opportunities for peri-urban populations, which in some regions is likely to include a high proportion of disadvantaged communities. It should be noted that alongside this benefit, that there may be a temporary negative impact caused by additional congestion for other road users during the time of construction and introduction of segregated lanes.

Average commute times in North America, Latin America and South Asia in 2015, including two daily journeys, were around 95 minutes, 110 minutes and 140 minutes, respectively. Figure 15 shows that commuting times up to 2030 under the reference scenario will likely remain relatively constant, whilst the segregation of bus lanes under the enhanced bus networks scenario could lead to time savings of approximately 6-11% in the three regions, or 6-12 minutes per day78.

For the average commuter with a 48-week working year, this potential time savings adds up to a significant 24 hours per year in North America or approximately 45 hours per year in South Asia and Latin America, equivalent to more than a week’s full-time work. This time saving is an average across all commuters using bus networks, assuming that bus lane segregation is implemented in equal measures across all routes. For example, in the scenario that 22% of the bus network uses segregated lanes by 2030, the average bus riders will benefit from segregated bus lanes for 22% of their journey length. This average is unlikely to be an accurate representation, since some lines may be preferred for segregated lane development; therefore, some commuters may not benefit from the measures at all whilst others benefit from this for the entire duration of their route. For commuters where 100% of their route is segregated from other road traffic, commuting times may be reduced by more than 30% in North America and Asia and by nearly half in Latin America.

This time saving translates into a total of 1.1 billion hours, 6.9 billion hours and 7.6 billion hours saved across public transport commuters in North America, Latin America and South Asia, respectively. For the economy as a whole, this time is comparable to that spent by over 580,000 full time equivalent workers in North America, 3.6 million full time equivalent workers in Latin America and 4 million full time equivalent workers in South Asia. The economic value of this time could be worth approximately EUR 18 billion in North America, EUR 20 billion in Latin America and EUR 8 billion in South Asia by 2030. The effective time reduced per journey may be greater still if one considers separately the time spent in walking to the nearest entry point for public transport; walking occupies a greater portion of the journey for public transit users than it does for those with private transport. This increase in physical activity contributes to a healthy lifestyle, which according to the World Health Organization, should include at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week.

At the global level, it is estimated that the enhanced bus networks scenario could save bus commuters a total of 40 billion hours per year, equivalent to around 20 million additional full-time workers, based on a time saving of 10 minutes per day for approximately 1 billion daily bus commuters worldwide. The economic value of this time saving would be approximately EUR 115 bn.

This calculated impact is only a potential. In reality, there are multiple feedback loops associated with this outcome which may mean that the potential time savings are not realised: for example, there is evidence to indicate that commuters may “optimize” their lifestyles and the distance of their journeys based on a willingness to travel for a certain amount of time (Marchetti 1994; Axhausen 2010). As such, commuters may respond to time savings by moving to areas further from the city centre, which may incur an economic advantage for the commuter. Alternatively, if sufficient incentives are in place to avoid this spread, the time savings may be utilised for increased economic productivity, or for a multitude of purposes that may increase quality of life. For example, the average commuter with a potential 6% time saving in North America, 8% in South Asia and 11% in Latin America could choose to move up to a further 1.5 km, 2.9 km and 3 km away from their commuting destination, respectively, to optimise their economic situation, if they are content with their commuting time. Whilst this may entail an economic benefit for individuals, it may also entail negative consequences associated with potential urban expansion and sprawl; urban planners should consider carefully how to address potential feedbacks, in the design of such measures. On the other hand, this increase in the acceptable commute distance may increase mobility and accessibility to city centre services and employment opportunities for marginalised communities on the peripheries of cities. This is particularly relevant in developing countries where informal settlements on city peripheries are often poorly connected to urban opportunities.

78 See Annex 3 for information on calculation methodology.
Figure 15: Potential commuter time savings from enhanced bus networks in North America, Latin America and South Asia.

Table: Commuter time savings from enhanced bus networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Commuters using bus networks in 2030 (ES)</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2030 (Ref)</th>
<th>2030 (EAS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>46,626,944</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>147,784,768</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>170,480,914</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact for average commuter using bus networks under enhanced scenario:
- Commute time saved: 6% in North America, 11% in Latin America, 8% in South Asia.
- Minutes per day saved: 6 in North America, 12 in Latin America, 11 in South Asia.
- Hours per year saved: 24 in North America, 47 in Latin America, 45 in South Asia.

Combined impact across population under enhanced scenario:
- Million hours commuting time saved per year: 1,120 in North America, 6,950 in Latin America, 7,600 in South Asia.
- Equivalent additional full-time workers*: 585,000 in North America, 3,620,000 in Latin America, 3,950,000 in South Asia.
- Estimated annual economic value**: ca. EUR 16 bn in North America, ca. EUR 20 bn in Latin America, ca. EUR 8 bn in South Asia.

Combined impact for average 1-million city under enhanced scenario:
- Million hours commuting time saved per year: 1.7 in North America, 2.8 in Latin America, 4.3 in South Asia.
- Equivalent additional full-time workers*: 880 in North America, 3,030 in Latin America, 2200 in South Asia.
- Estimated annual economic value**: ca. EUR 25 m in North America, ca. EUR 33 m in Latin America, ca. EUR 5 m in South Asia.

Combined impact for C40 Cities network under enhanced scenario:
- Million hours commuting time saved per year: 126 in North America, 840 in Latin America, 1,220 in South Asia.
- Equivalent additional full-time workers*: 66,000 in North America, 438,000 in Latin America, 634,000 in South Asia.
- Estimated annual economic value**: ca. EUR 1.9 bn in North America, ca. EUR 2.4 bn in Latin America, ca. EUR 1.3 bn in South Asia.

Combined impact for GCoM Cities network under enhanced scenario:
- Million hours commuting time saved per year: 231 in North America, 1,540 in Latin America, 350 in South Asia.
- Equivalent additional full-time workers*: 120,500 in North America, 799,400 in Latin America, 182,307 in South Asia.
- Estimated annual economic value**: ca. EUR 3.4 bn in North America, ca. EUR 4.4 bn in Latin America, ca. EUR 0.4 bn in South Asia.

Scaling up to the global level:
- Million hours commuting time saved per year: 40,800 in North America, 60,000 in Latin America, 8,400 in South Asia.
- Equivalent additional full-time workers*: 21,250,000 in North America, 3,620,000 in Latin America, 3,950,000 in South Asia.
- Estimated annual economic value**: ca. EUR 115 bn in North America, ca. EUR 20 bn in Latin America, ca. EUR 8 bn in South Asia.

5.6/ EXPERIENCES FROM SEATTLE, RIO DE JANEIRO AND PITTSBURGH, PA

Case studies from Seattle and Rio de Janeiro illustrate, at the local level, how this action is delivering GHG emission reductions while also addressing multiple benefits to the population.

5.6.1 Seattle

Road transportation is the largest source of air pollution in the city and represents two thirds of Seattle’s GHG emissions. Seattle has been developing a wide transportation program, largely focused on smart growth policies combined with the enhancement of bus and light rail networks, to help achieve the goal of carbon neutrality by 2050. The RapiRide System, a bus service with dedicated corridors, will be expanded from 3 lines to an integrated bus network with 10 lines. The city also committed to transition to Fossil-Fuel-Free Streets by only procuring zero-emission buses from 2025 (C40 Cities 2015). Recent commuter trip data show nearly half of commuters rely on public transit and that the programme has led to an overall decrease of 4,500 drive-alone commuters, alongside the creation of 60,000 jobs. The expansion of the RapidRide System is expected to speed up travel time during the busiest commute hours by around 10-15% and improve the punctuality of services as well.

Figure 16: Electric bus being tested in Seattle.

Source: https://www.bizjournals.com/seattle/blog/techflash/2015/11/king-county-metro-starts-testing-100-electric.html

// AS ONE OF THE FASTEST GROWING CITIES IN THE U.S., SEATTLE IS A LEADER NATIONWIDE IN CUTTING OUR EMISSIONS AND BUILDING A CLIMATE FRIENDLY CITY. CITIES DON’T HAVE THE LUXURY OF CLIMATE DENIAL AND CANNOT WAIT FOR FEDERAL LEADERS TO EMBRACE SCIENCE. THE EFFECT OF INACTION IS ALREADY AT OUR DOORSTEP. OUR BOLD AND TRANSFORMATIVE INVESTMENTS IN OUR GREEN ECONOMY, RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORTATION PROVIDE A STRONG FOUNDATION ON WHICH TO BUILD THE NEXT GENERATION OF CLIMATE ACTIONS. //

MAYOR DURKAN, CITY OF SEATTLE

Source: Results from author developed quantitative analysis models. * The number of equivalent full-time workers is included as a demonstrative reference point. It may not be that the impact on the economy is equivalent to this additional workforce, since potential time savings will not only be used for productive purposes.
5.6.2 Rio de Janeiro

Between 2009 and 2017, in order to mitigate and reverse the increasing trend of greenhouse gas emissions and to reduce traffic congestion, the city increased its high-capacity public transport systems, through the implementation of 120 km of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT). The share of trips made by high-capacity transport has increased from 18% to 63%. The TransOeste corridor, the first BRT corridor implemented, has reduced the average inner-city trip by 55%, from 1 hour and 40 minutes to around 45 minutes, directly benefiting 185,000 passengers which are being transported per day. The BRT is expected to save an estimated 107 ktCO₂ per year over a 20-year period, thanks to fuel-efficient buses, optimised bus routes and the attraction of new users to the public transport system (C40 Cities 2016; ITDP 2014). With the implementation of the system, a 20% reduction in traffic fatalities has also been observed26. The decarbonisation of the bus fleet has the potential to further enhance the quality of air, reducing by more than 50% the exposure of fine particulate matter (PM₂.₅) and the effects on premature mortality (ISSRC 2013).

Figure 17: BRT in Rio de Janeiro. Photo credit: Andre Luiz Moreira / Shutterstock.com

Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

In the process of transitioning to an energy-efficient public transport system, the American city of Pittsburgh is revitalize a former industrial low-income district. With dedicated corridors and signal prioritization for an initial fleet of 25 electric buses, the Downtown-Uptown-Downtown East End Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project is set to reduce GHG emissions and improve local air quality when it enters into service in late 2021 (ICLEI 2018; Port Authority 2018).

The enhanced bus fleet will eschew the potent compounds emitted by Pittsburgh’s current stock of diesel-powered buses and deliver cleaner air along with the resulting health benefits. Built at an estimated US$ 195-million, the BRT system will also connect the residential community of Uptown with the Central Business District, facilitating neighborhood growth and linking residents to job centers, educational opportunities, and other sociocultural activities across the city (ICLEI, 2018; Port Authority, 2018). The Port Authority also intends to upgrade bicycle parking facilities adjacent to BRT stations, which would further affect the city’s modal split and deliver benefits in the form of increased physical exercise, decreased congestion, and cleaner air.

Improved transport linkages cultivate economic growth and bring about tangible benefits for Pittsburgh. It is an effort that can generate a ‘snowball effect’ through which individuals, businesses, and local government improve their quality of life over time. The potential value of Pittsburgh’s BRT project is therefore expected to increase as the connections across the city amplify and multiply.

// INVESTMENTS IN THE CAPACITY OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION IN RIO DE JANEIRO NOT ONLY LED TO A REDUCTION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND TRAFFIC RELATED PROBLEMS, BUT ALSO CONTRIBUTED TO THE REDUCTION OF INEQUALITY BETWEEN CITIZENS, SINCE IT OFFERED MORE TRANSPORT OPTIONS TO LOW-INCOME AND VULNERABLE USER GROUPS, WHILE BUILDING A MORE LIVEABLE AND SUSTAINABLE CITY. //

MAYOR CRIVELLA,
CITY OF RIO DE JANEIRO

Sources

26 Source: Assessment of the Secretary of Transportation of the City of Rio.
5.7/ OPPORTUNITIES FROM ENHANCED TRANSPORTATION ACTION

This chapter has demonstrated the significant potential and attractiveness of city-level action for enhanced bus networks and public transportation services, including zero-emission buses.

Investments in enhanced bus networks and services constitute one of the best options for the reduction of GHG emissions in cities and the transport sector overall, while delivering positive impacts for the economy, quality of life, social inclusion and other development agendas. This is critical, in a sector where compatibility with the goals of the Paris Agreement will require a sectoral transformation that entails a complete reversal of the current trend for the increase in GHG emissions under current policies.

The findings from this report present an evidence base for accelerated actions for enhanced bus networks and bus services. It equips city, national governments, the private sector, and citizens with several reasons for a joint collaborative effort toward this goal. Case studies from Seattle and Rio de Janeiro illustrate, at the local level, how this action is delivering GHG emission reductions while also addressing multiple benefits to the population.

Fatalities from road traffic accidents and from premature mortality associated with outdoor air pollution are two of the leading causes of premature death in cities worldwide. Action for enhanced bus networks and services in line with the scenarios analysed in this report would lead to a significant shift in the modal split of passenger transport, and the amount of transport activity in private light duty vehicles, which could prevent the premature deaths of nearly one million people worldwide, each year. The impacts would be greater still, if the economic and social costs of non-lethal health conditions, such as non-lethal respiratory diseases and non-lethal traffic accidents would be considered in addition.

These major benefits for human health and safety also come along with other benefits for daily convenience and economic gain: the measures of the enhanced action scenario for bus networks will save travel time for approximately 1 billion bus commuters worldwide on a daily basis, saving a total of over 40 billion hours per year, equivalent to around 21 million additional full-time employees over the period of a year. Furthermore, the enhanced bus scenario results indicate that the measures could result in improved mobility and accessibility to city services for lower-income groups, whose potential travel demand may have been curtailed in the past due to lack of access to public transport and inability to afford private transportation.

Additional measures for modern urban mobility systems, that were not within the scope of this research report, have the potential to further enhance the impacts of the measure. Additional measures found by McKinsey & C40 Cities (2017) to have considerable emission reduction potential in cities include transit-oriented development, measures to enhance conditions for non-motorised transport (including cycling and walking), next generation vehicle technologies (including shared mobility concepts and electric vehicles), and modern approaches to urban commercial freight.
Under a reference scenario, district heating capacities will increase significantly in China to cover the majority of urban heat demand, although it will remain powered by inefficient coal heat plants. District heating will increase significantly in the European Union but without becoming the main heating supplier for urban households. The use of district cooling will remain negligible in both regions. No significant development of district heating or cooling is expected for Africa under the reference scenario.

An enhanced action scenario for district heating would be for the district heating network to be further expanded and transitioned to the increased use of waste heat recovery, renewable energy and CHP. This scenario would have the following impacts:

- The reduction in combustion of fossil fuels for heat in cities will cause a reduction in GHG emissions of approximately 450 MtCO₂e in China and 200 MtCO₂e in the European Union in 2030.
- Exposures to excessive ambient air pollution will also be reduced, leading to the prevention of approximately 100,000 and 28,000 premature deaths per year in 2030, compared to the reference scenario, in China and the European Union respectively.
- Further expansion of district heating generation capacity and pipeline installation will employ over 715,000 more people in China in 2030, than those working in the sector in 2014.

This is more than 235,000 additional jobs in 2030 compared to the reference scenario. In the European Union, action in district heating will create over 2.2 million jobs in 2030. This is almost 900,000 additional jobs in 2030, compared to the reference scenario.

The prospects for the penetration of district cooling remain highly uncertain; this is an area where there is a major need for further research efforts, if the development of such systems is to become feasible. The use of renewable energy powered district cooling systems to supply a moderate portion of urban cooling demand in 2030 may have the following impacts:

- The reduction of electricity consumption may reduce emissions by over 200 MtCO₂e in China and 20 MtCO₂e in the European Union when compared with a scenario with only district heating. The reduction could achieve values of 21–42 MtCO₂e in Africa, in 2030.
- The reduction of air pollutant emissions may lead to the prevention of approximately 100,000 premature deaths per year China, 30,000 in the European Union and between 11,000–21,000 premature deaths per year in Africa, compared to the reference scenario.
- Installation and maintenance of pipelines for district cooling could lead to an estimated 150,000 and 550,000 additional jobs in China and the European Union respectively, compared to a scenario with district heating only. In Africa, this number is between 41,000 and 82,000 jobs.
The status of district energy systems is highly variable across different regions, based on differences in climatic conditions and feasibility of district scale systems, but also differences between countries in the historical structure of the energy supply industry and markets. District energy systems have been a mature technology option for several decades already in some countries, notably in northern Europe and several former Soviet Union countries, whilst the installation of these systems is a relatively new and emerging phenomenon in some countries, and an entirely unexploited potential in others. Worldwide, district heating – which up to now is the most mature of district energy applications – accounted for approximately 13% of energy demand for spatial heating in buildings in 2014 (IEA 2017a). District heating systems remain more common in non-OECD countries, where they account for 29% of spatial heating demand, compared to 7% in OECD countries. The vast difference in the adoption of these systems between countries can be seen in that district heating accounts for 72% of spatial heating in Russia, where urban energy systems were traditionally centrally managed, compared to less than 1% in the United States, where district energy systems are technically feasible in many areas, yet the historical structure of the energy sector was never highly conducive to their implementation.

District energy systems typically consist of a network of insulated pipes that distribute hot or cold water produced in central plants to multiple buildings in a district, neighbourhood or city (IRENA 2017a). Hence, spatial heating and cooling can be delivered without buildings having to operate individual boilers, furnaces, electric heaters or air conditioning units. Supplying energy collectively at the district level entails potential efficiency gains and means that thermal energy can be transported from where it has little potential efficiency gains and means that thermal energy can be transported from where it has little potential efficiency gains and means that thermal energy can be transported from where it has little potential.

6.1/ IMPORTANCE OF DISTRICT SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY IN CITIES

The effort to reduce global GHG emissions implies a radical transformation of energy systems and patterns of energy consumption in end-use sectors. Households in many regions of the world still predominantly rely on the direct combustion of fossil fuels to generate heating and cooling, and significant use of electricity for cooling in buildings. Space heating and cooling as well as hot water supply are estimated to account for almost half of global energy consumption in buildings (IEA 2011), making this a highly significant source of global emissions.

In this context, the promotion of energy-efficient and affordable district energy systems represents one of the most-efficient ways to reduce emissions and primary energy demand. A transition to district energy systems, coupled with energy efficiency measures could contribute to a significant degree to CO₂ emission reductions required in the energy and buildings sectors by 2050 to keep the global temperature rise in line with the Paris Agreement goals (UNEP 2015). It has been estimated that deployment of district scale clean energy could achieve emissions savings of 0.7 GtCO₂e by 2050 (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016).

District scale heating and cooling systems can often achieve significant improvements compared to conventional individual household systems such as boilers or AC units in areas where energy demand intensities are sufficient (see for example Shimoda et al. 2008; Connolly et al. 2014; Möller & Lund 2010; Sperling & Möller 2012). Such conventional technologies might improve system efficiency in fuel consumption, increase the resilience of urban heating and cooling supply, lower the vulnerability to different supply chain shocks and disruptions, and decrease indoor pollution due to centralised generation. Currently, about 85% of energy used worldwide for district scale heating systems stems from fossil fuel based generation (IRENA 2017a).

Apart from the general switch to district scale heating and cooling systems, such systems can achieve even more substantial improvements in reducing emissions and air pollution by using recovered waste heat from industry and renewable energy carriers for generating the energy at the district level plants. The current use of renewables in district scale systems is limited. Heat is available in abundance as a waste by-product of industrial processes and may offer the most attractive source of input for district heating in the case that the proximity of facilities makes the recovery of heat feasible. This is common practice in some Northern European countries and, despite minimal use to date, could supply approximately half of the national district heat supply in China (Xiong et al. 2015). As of 2014, renewable district heat only represented about 1% of renewable energy use worldwide while the contribution of renewable district cooling was insignificant (IRENA 2018). This share might increase to approximately 3% for district heating by 2030 due to developments in China and the European Union (IRENA 2017a), only tapping into some of the potential for using district scale renewable energy in cities globally. Certain countries already rely on renewable energy generation from waste, biofuels, geothermal and solar PV for their district heating systems, Denmark or Switzerland already reach about 40% of renewable energy use (IRENA 2017a). Recent studies foresee a significantly increasing trend to utilise district scale renewable energy for heating around the world. Technological development for 4th generation district energy27 (Lund et al. 2014) will help drive the further integration of renewable energy sources into district systems.

Market developments for district scale cooling, fuelled by renewable energy, remain comparatively slow, with mostly conventional fossil fuel generation used in district cooling systems installed as of today (ADB 2017). Driven by the expected increase in energy demand for cooling in several regions in the coming decades, renewable-based district cooling technologies might offer great potential to achieve reliable cooling supply alongside low emission development pathways in the cooling sector. For instance, the energy demand for cooling on the African continent may increase between 2015 and 2030 by four times to ten times by 2040 (author’s own calculations)28. District-scale cooling technologies, such as sea water air conditioning (SWAC) currently installed in the city of Port Louis, Mauritius with expected reduction of fossil fuel-based energy generation of 26 MW (UNEP 2015), might offer great potential for sustainable solutions to meet these demands in sustainable ways in urban areas.

27 4th generation district energy is defined as a technological and institutional concept. Through use of smart thermal grids and smart energy systems, 4G district energy systems can supply modernisation of the energy building with low grid distance using low-temperature heat sources. District can operate with lower temperatures, the concept is particularly suited to use of renewable energy technologies.

28 Refer to separate methodology document for further information.
Apart from the advantages of district-scale renewable energy systems in cities, the expensive investments in public heating and cooling infrastructure might face some risk that urban heating and cooling demand from district-scale systems might not develop as expected. The increase of efficiency standards in urban building stocks due to necessary policy action and advances in technology might significantly reduce the need for urban heating and cooling. Such potential risks of unused or insufficient district-scale infrastructure should be accounted for in long-term policy and investment planning in cities. This is an important consideration for the installation of new district energy systems but has less relevance for the feasibility of transitioning existing district scale systems to renewable forms of energy. Another relevant consideration for renewable district energy systems is that more efficient buildings may also increase the potential for lower temperature heat networks, which can make use of renewable or waste heat more easily.

Table 13: Overview of some potential direct and indirect impacts from district energy systems in cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF IMPACTS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF OUTCOMES AND SPECIFIC IMPACTS</th>
<th>EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social impacts</td>
<td>Health benefits from improved air quality due to reduced indoor and outdoor emissions of local air pollutants</td>
<td>Low income households usually have the greatest vulnerability to air pollution and sick-building syndrome. Therefore the benefits will be more pronounced in these households.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health benefits from greater use of affordable heating and/or cooling (lower incidence of ‘sick-building’ syndrome)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social impacts</td>
<td>Better household access to heating and cooling</td>
<td>Improved equity in reliable and affordable heating and cooling in urban areas (especially for low-income households)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction work to install district energy systems can result in temporary discomfort for residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impacts</td>
<td>Reduced exposure of households to energy price fluctuation</td>
<td>Reduced energy consumption results in a proportionally greater increase in household purchasing power for lower income households.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower expenditure for heating and cooling and decreased risk to face fuel poverty for low-income households</td>
<td>Lower-income households are the least resilient to energy price spikes which can have a significant impact on energy poverty and associated burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in property value for buildings connected to modern district energy systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insulation from energy price spikes and greater long-term certainty on heating and cooling bills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impacts</td>
<td>Improved indoor and outdoor air quality</td>
<td>Water availability and quality is usually a more critical issue for lower-income areas, where infrastructure and supply are more frequently disrupted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced GHG emissions and ozone depleting substances</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced consumption of water resources in urban areas with district cooling systems compared to conventional cooling systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved water quality from reduced polluting emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of heat-island effects in urban areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13 shows that the switch to district energy systems in the urban environment can entail a broad range of outcomes and impacts for equity considerations, for urban populations who continuously depend on heating or cooling for prolonged periods of the year. Both positive (+) and negative (−) impacts are listed.

6.2/ POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DISTRICT ENERGY SYSTEMS

District heating systems can result in the creation of many jobs for local workers but can also lead to the loss of jobs for local and unskilled staff in conventional energy industries. Measures to implement district energy should be implemented with sufficient considerations to maximise opportunities for local and unskilled staff and avoid adverse outcomes. Additional resources available for local governments and public health can result in additional pro-poor investments.
6.3/ SCOPE OF ANALYSIS

Regions

The analysis of benefits and impacts for district scale renewable energy is presented in this report for cities within China, the European Union and Africa. These regions were selected due to the potential and the insights from the contrasting regional characteristics. China and the European Union, two very distinct economies, are presented as examples of regions with high potential demand for heating and cooling, and where district energy systems are relatively mature but require a shift to renewable forms of energy supply. Africa is presented as an illustrative example of a region with almost no district energy infrastructure in the present, yet with high demand for cooling in the future, especially concentrated within cities that are undergoing rapid transformation.

Table 14 shows that the situation and prospects for district energy systems in China, European Union and African is very different. Even though district heating is an old practice in the European Union (Werner 2017), the current share of urban households supplied by district heating systems is only 9%. In China, the use of district heating is dominant in urban areas (78%) of spatial heating demand in buildings in urban areas), and the potential for further enhancing district energy lies in the transition to the use of waste heat recovery and renewable energy sources, along with the increased uptake of district cooling, which is so far negligible. In Africa, district heating systems are not feasible in the greater part of the region, due to the relatively low heating-degree-days across the most populated areas of the continent; for this reason, scenarios for heating in Africa are not assessed. The potential for district cooling remains largely uncertain, but energy demand for cooling will increase substantially in the coming decades, and early experiences in the Gulf states indicate that a significant portion of this cooling demand could be met through district energy systems.

The estimated average figures for Africa in Table 14 obscures considerable differences in conditions within the region. We estimate that energy demand for spatial cooling per capita is more than four times greater in North Africa than in Sub-Saharan Africa, although it is reasonable to consider that there will be a degree of convergence in per capita cooling demand in the coming decades, due to higher rates of projected economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Table 14 shows that the situation and prospects for district energy systems in China, European Union and Africa are quite different. Even though district heating is an old practice in the European Union, it is a mature technology in China, accounting for approximately 78% of spatial heating demand in buildings in urban areas. The use of district energy systems for cooling is negligible. The estimated average figures for Africa in Table 14 obscures considerable differences in conditions within the region. We estimate that energy demand for spatial cooling per capita is more than four times greater in North Africa than in Sub-Saharan Africa, although it is reasonable to consider that there will be a degree of convergence in per capita cooling demand in the coming decades, due to higher rates of projected economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa.
Scenario parameters

The analysis for the potential of district-scale renewable energy systems considers the impacts of the following measures.

**Measures for district heating:**
- Use of district scale systems for building heating: the scenarios consider the proportion of the urban area’s heating demand that is supplied by heat from district systems.
- Use of recovered industrial waste heat: given as the proportion to which it contributes to the total district heating energy supply.
- Use of renewable energy generation technologies for district scale heating: given as the proportion to which it contributes to the total district heating energy supply.
- Use of combined heat and power plants instead of dedicated heat plants: given as the proportion to which it contributes to the total district heating energy supply.

**Measures for district cooling:**
- Use of district scale systems for building cooling: the scenarios consider the proportion of the urban area’s cooling demand that is supplied by energy from district systems. District cooling is assumed to be supplied by a combination of renewable energy generation technologies and trigeneration from thermal plants where the potential is available.
- Use of combined heat and power plants instead of dedicated heat plants: given as the proportion to which it contributes to the total district cooling energy supply.

Section 6.4 provides details on the scenarios assessed in this analysis.

Impacts

This study focuses on the impacts of district scale renewable energy systems in cities for premature mortality from outdoor air pollution, reduced fossil fuel imports and job creation.

- **Change in premature mortality from outdoor air pollution** is assessed based on the impact of energy system changes on the emissions of air pollutants, and the consequent changes in the concentration of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) in urban areas. This includes all-cause mortality from PM$_{2.5}$.
- **Job creation** is assessed with regards to the direct net employment impact for the installation and maintenance of energy generation and distribution infrastructure, based on the volume of upfront capital investments.
- **Reduced fossil fuel imports** are assessed in terms of the potential reduction in imports (or exports) based on changes in the energy mix that arise from the use of district scale energy solutions and renewable technologies.

These impacts were selected for analysis based upon analysis from C40 and input from C40 cities on the most relevant issues for decision makers, as well as feasibility of the quantitative analysis.

6.4/ QUANTIFIED IMPACTS OF DISTRICT SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY

Table 14 gives an overview of the scenarios which are analysed for China and Africa in this study.

Table 15: Scenarios for analysis of impacts of district scale renewable energy systems.

**CHINA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>REFERENCE (2030)</th>
<th>ENHANCED DH SCENARIO (2030)</th>
<th>ENHANCED DHC SCENARIO (2030)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of district energy for urban heating demand</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of recovered industrial waste heat for district energy</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of renewable energy technologies for district heating</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of CHP for district heating</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of district energy for cooling demand</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EUROPEAN UNION**

Table 15: Scenarios for analysis of impacts of district scale renewable energy systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>REFERENCE (2030)</th>
<th>ENHANCED DH SCENARIO (2030)</th>
<th>ENHANCED DHC SCENARIO (2030)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of district energy for urban heating demand</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of recovered industrial waste heat for district energy</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of renewable energy technologies for district heating</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of CHP for district heating</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of district energy for cooling demand</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AFRICA REGION

District heating scenarios for Africa are not assessed, since the prospects for district heating are very low due to low heating demand in the most populated areas. Prospects for district cooling are considerably under-researched, to the extent that no specific feasible scenarios could be identified for inclusion in this analysis. Instead, two exemplary scenarios are presented in which district systems are used to supply 25% and 50% of urban cooling demand on the continent. Of the few examples where such analysis exists, estimates for the potential of district cooling in Gulf states range from approximately 25-50%. This range is an exemplary illustration for the Africa region analysis and does not imply that the outcomes are determined by the authors to be desirable or practically feasible, since the state of knowledge on this topic is not sufficient to draw such conclusions*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>REFERENCE (2030)</th>
<th>25% DC SCENARIO (2030)</th>
<th>50% DC SCENARIO (2030)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of district energy for urban heating demand</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of recovered industrial waste heat for district energy</td>
<td>District heating feasibility is negligible and heating scenarios are not assessed for Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of renewable energy technologies for district heating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of CHP for district heating</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The range assessed is for exemplary illustration only. The potential for DC in Africa could be limited by the sophistication of new buildings built up to 2030. Whilst DC is likely to be an efficient means of cooling in many growing cities in Africa, most city growth is driven by rural-urban migration, typically from lower-income households, and new residential constructions often include only basic technologies and structures; considerable interventions would be needed to ensure that new building structures were compatible with DC systems, should this be deemed a desirable action in some areas of the region.

Due to the limited availability of information on Paris Agreement compatible scenarios for district-scale heating and cooling in the literature, these scenarios are based partially on higher-ambition potentials identified in the literature and partially on artificial constructions for exemplary illustration of potential impacts.

Figure 18 gives an overview of the implications of the scenarios identified for primary energy demand and emissions from heating and cooling in buildings. The enhanced district heating scenario results in an emission reduction of approximately 450 MtCO₂e in China, compared to the reference scenario, and 200 MtCO₂e in the European Union, in 2030. This is due mostly to the reduction in coal-fired electricity demand due to district heat, replacement of household coal stoves (amongst others) with district heat, and through more efficient use of existing coal plants for CHP. Further reduction in coal demand, through the replacement of coal-fired electric appliances for cooling with district cooling under the advanced district heating and cooling scenario, would further reduce emissions in 2030 by approximately 200 MtCO₂e in China and 20 MtCO₂e in the European Union. These potential emission reductions equate to approximately 0.6-0.8 tCO₂e per capita for the urban population in 2030 in China and around 0.3 tCO₂e in the European Union. This is in line with the Paris Agreement compatible scenarios of the Deadline 2020 report (ARUP & C40 Cities 2016), which report an average per capita emission reduction of 0.61 tCO₂e for eight major cities in China and between 0.5-0.3 tCO₂e in the European Union in 2030. The district heating and cooling scenario would result in an absolute reduction of coal consumption related to building sector energy compared to 2014. Combined with complementary measures for energy efficiency improvements and the decarbonisation of the electricity supply sector, as required for compatibility of the Paris Agreement objectives, this could reduce primary demand for coal and other fossil fuels further and lead to a considerable absolute reduction in emissions compared to 2014.

The district cooling scenarios for Africa would result in emission reductions for spatial cooling in buildings of approximately 20 MtCO₂e in 2030 under the 25% district cooling scenario, and approximately 40 MtCO₂e in 2030 under the 50% district cooling scenario. Figure 18 indicates that this reduction would largely be due to a reduction in coal and gas consumption for electricity to power individual cooling appliances, which are replaced by district cooling plants powered by renewable energy sources and trigeneration, where possible. These potential emission reductions equate to approximately 0.025-0.05 tCO₂e per capita for the urban population in 2030.
### 6.5/ QUANTIFIED IMPACTS OF DISTRICT SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY

#### 6.5.1 Premature mortality from outdoor air pollution

District scale renewable energy systems may contribute to significant reductions in outdoor air pollution from the energy sector in urban areas in several ways:

- **District heat and cooling plants are often more efficient than individual building-scale technologies,** requiring less primary energy and subsequently fewer emissions to deliver the same heating and cooling supply.
- **District scale generation offers more potential for the integration of renewable technologies and the use of recovered waste heat in the supply of heating and cooling,** thereby further reducing the need for the combustion of fossil fuels and air pollutant emissions usually associated with electricity generation or individual building-scale boilers.

The potential for district heating to impact air pollution and associated premature mortality in China is particularly large: approximately a quarter of outdoor particulate matter concentrations in urban areas of China could be attributed to emissions for heating (authors’ estimation based on Liu et al. 2016).

District cooling systems could reduce demand for electricity and subsequently the emissions associated with power generation in China, the European Union and Africa. The potential gains from district cooling in Africa are particularly due to the considerable forecast increase in cooling demand and the increased emissions related to electricity generation in the coming years.

---

**Figure 18:** Primary energy demand for building sector energy use and GHG emissions for heating and cooling, under different scenarios.

#### European Union

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Enhanced DH</th>
<th>Enhanced DH &amp; DC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>4.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Reference</td>
<td>2.253</td>
<td>3.996</td>
<td>8.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Enhanced DH</td>
<td>3.996</td>
<td>7.713</td>
<td>11.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Enhanced DH &amp; DC</td>
<td>7.713</td>
<td>13.996</td>
<td>21.713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### China

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Enhanced DH</th>
<th>Enhanced DH &amp; DC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.473</td>
<td>7.928</td>
<td>13.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Reference</td>
<td>7.928</td>
<td>11.648</td>
<td>20.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Enhanced DH</td>
<td>11.648</td>
<td>17.523</td>
<td>29.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - Enhanced DH &amp; DC</td>
<td>17.523</td>
<td>26.043</td>
<td>43.566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>25% DC</th>
<th>50% DC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3.120</td>
<td>3.120</td>
<td>3.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>5.233</td>
<td>6.417</td>
<td>7.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - 25% DC</td>
<td>6.417</td>
<td>8.023</td>
<td>9.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 - 50% DC</td>
<td>8.023</td>
<td>10.030</td>
<td>12.040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

300,000 deaths from air pollution could be avoided worldwide by 2030.

---

29 Air pollution impacts related to building-scale boilers are local to cities, but the reduction in air pollution related to electricity generation may not be, depending on the location of generation technologies.
The social and economic burden of air pollution is presently one of the most critical issues facing many cities in developing countries. Figure 19 shows that the number of premature deaths from air pollution in China’s and Africa’s urban areas is estimated to increase significantly up to 2030, under the reference scenario. In the European Union, the trend is different, premature deaths are supposed to decrease up to 2030. The very large increases in the health burden associated with pollution is due to a combination of factors: emissions of air pollutants from other sectors outside of urban power and heat are expected to grow, whilst urban population growth and population ageing will push the death rate higher still.

Figure 19 shows that the enhanced district heating scenario may prevent around 100,000 annual premature deaths in 2030, compared to the reference scenario, by reducing emissions from power and heat used in buildings in China. If renewable energy powered district cooling technologies are also installed, this would lead to the prevention of a total of over 115,000 deaths per year in China by reducing emissions from electricity generation. Almost 29,000 annual premature deaths could be avoided by increasing the use of district heating, or district heating and cooling, in the European Union. District cooling could also have a major impact on reducing premature deaths in Africa. The use of renewable energy powered district cooling technologies to supply 25% of cooling demand in 2030 could prevent more than 10,000 premature deaths, while a 50% supply scenario could prevent more than 21,000 premature deaths.

If the actions in the regions are scaled up to the rest of the world, over 300,000 premature deaths could be avoided in an enhanced scenario using either district heating or district heating and cooling. If only the use of district cooling is to be scaled up to supply 25% of the cooling demand worldwide, around 40,000 premature deaths could be avoided. If district cooling would be scaled up to supply of global cooling demand 50%, the number of premature deaths avoided would increase to 80,000.

The implementation of enhanced district scale energy systems may entail a significant shift in investment patterns which may lead to changes in the level of employment within the sector and beyond. District scale systems will reduce investments and jobs in sectors associated with the installation and maintenance of building-scale heaters and coolers, but will increase investments and jobs in the construction, operation and maintenance of centralised energy generation capacity, as well as the construction and maintenance of district pipeline networks and building scale connectivity and metering. Investments in district scale energy systems are likely to be higher than alternative systems, usually leading to the larger volumes of job creation during the construction period as well as for maintenance and operation; this does not necessarily mean that these technologies are more expensive in the long term, since reduced fuel consumption considerably reduces the total costs of these technologies when considered over their project lifetimes. Jobs may also be more likely to be local, depending on the circumstances for manufacturing individual boilers.

This section analyses the impact of measures for district scale renewable energy systems for the creation and losses of direct jobs in these industries, and indirect job creation from the supply chain. Due to efficiency gains, such systems are likely to reduce the total amount of primary energy supply required to meet demand; the impact of this effect on employment in the energy sector is included in this analysis, although potential impacts on employment for fossil fuel production (where relevant) are not assessed, since pathways for fossil fuel production activity and the consequent level of employment in the sector may not necessarily be depending on domestic energy demand.

For district heating, we assess the extent to which measures will affect employment for the construction, installation, operation and maintenance of centralised energy generation plants, distribution network infrastructure and building-scale boiler systems. For district cooling, the available information on investments associated with centralised energy supply capacities and individual air conditioning units is not deemed to be reliable, so only the investment and employment impacts of the distribution network for district cooling is assessed for this indicator. In the absence of reliable information, it is assumed that the positive impacts for employment from new centralised energy generation capacity for district cooling will be similar to the scale of job losses in the building-scale air conditioning unit production and installation industries. As such, the employment impact from the distribution infrastructure is assumed to be the net employment gain from the measures. This is believed to be a conservative assumption since the analysis of district heating technologies in this study finds that investments and employment for centralised energy generation capacity are greater than that for individual building scale units, so it is reasonable to assume that the same is true for district cooling technologies.
DISTRICT SCALE SYSTEMS WILL INCREASE INVESTMENTS AND JOBS IN THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF CENTRALISED GENERATION CAPACITY, AS WELL AS THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF DISTRICT PIPELINE NETWORKS AND BUILDING SCALE CONNECTIVITY AND METERING.

Significant expansions of China’s and European Union’s urban energy infrastructure are expected between 2015 and 2030. This is reflected in Figure 20, which shows that accumulated investments of more than EUR 200 billion in China and EUR 900 billion in the European Union in the installation of new infrastructure for heating will be required between 2015 and 2030 to meet projected demand.

In China, a great deal of this investment is for the installation of new pipelines for expanded district heating, which is forecast under the reference scenario. In the enhanced district heating scenario, the investment costs for pipelines increase slightly, whilst the investment volume for district scale heat generation capacity increases by more than double. This significant increase in the enhanced district heating scenario is due to a moderate increase in the penetration of district heating systems, as well as the increased use of waste heat recovery and renewable energy technologies, which have a higher upfront capital cost. In the scenario that includes district cooling, the required investments for pipelines increases further yet, with total accumulated investments for the 2015-2030 period reaching nearly EUR 300 billion.

In the European Union, most investment in the reference scenario goes to installation of individual boilers, over EUR 600 billion. In the enhanced district heating scenario, the investment costs for district scale heat generation capacity increases significantly, over five times, which also drives investments in pipelines. This increase in the enhanced district heating scenario is also due to the high capital costs of renewable energy technologies and waste recovery systems. In the scenario that includes district cooling as well as heating, the required investments for pipelines increases further yet, with total accumulated investments for the 2015-2030 period reaching nearly EUR 500 billion.
The realisation of these scenarios will also require highly significant increases in the number of workers within the energy and construction industries, as indicated in Figure 21 and Figure 22. Whilst the reference scenario will require an additional 480,000 workers in China and 1.3 million in the European Union by 2030, compared to 2014, the enhanced district heating scenario will employ 236,000 more people in China and 850,000 in the European Union, mostly due to increased employment for installation and O&M of clean technologies for district heat generation. These figures show the net impact for direct and indirect job creation in the sector accounting for the replacement of jobs on individual boilers. The construction and maintenance of distribution network for district cooling would employ a further 150,000 people still in China and almost 600,000 in the European Union, by 2030. At the global scale, an enhanced district heating scenario will employ 3.8 million workers while an enhanced district heating and cooling scenario will employ over twice that number, 8.3 million workers by 2030, when compared to 2014, over 4.5 million more than in the district heating scenario.

The additional jobs created in the enhanced action scenario include a mixture of jobs for unskilled, skilled and professional workers. Although the upfront investments in district heating networks are large, most of the technologies and materials (such as large pipelines) are mature and expertise could usually be expected to be locally available, so the proportion of jobs which are local is likely to be high. The enhanced scenarios may entail job losses within some energy generation sub-sectors, including coal heat plants and coal stoves for buildings, and could also result in job losses for fossil fuel production. Whether these job losses occur depends on national circumstances and strategic decisions related to fossil fuel production; in the case that job losses in these industries do occur, these are more than offset by new jobs created in cleaner energy industries, and any negative impacts from these job losses can be mitigated through programmes for re-training and reallocation.

Figure 21: Employment impacts of district scale energy scenarios in China.

Scenarios for ambitious penetration of district cooling systems could also have a major impact for employment in the Africa region. Figure 23 shows that the use of renewable energy powered district cooling for 25% of cooling demand in Africa in 2030 will require accumulated investments of approximately EUR 18 billion between 2015 and 2030, with more than 40,000 people employed in pipeline installation and maintenance in 2030. In the scenario where district cooling supplies 50% of cooling demand, these investments and employment rates double. At the global scale, expanding district cooling would be responsible for 140,000 additional jobs in a scenario with 25% of the cooling demand being supplied by district technologies and 275,000 in a scenario with 50% district cooling penetration.

Figure 22: Employment impacts of district scale energy scenarios in the European Union.
The employment impact for district cooling systems is a net additional impact, since the results relate to job creations from the pipeline investments, whilst it is estimated that the loss of jobs from the production and installation of individual cooling appliances is offset by the jobs in centralised cooling supply facilities, either through trigeneration or renewable energy technologies. However, it is important to recognise that suitable retraining and reallocation programmes will be necessary to mitigate any negative impacts of the transfer of jobs from one energy sector sub-sector to another. For the additional jobs for pipeline installation, these will contain a mix of unskilled, skilled and professional jobs, and can be largely due to the generally widespread maturity and available expertise for pipeline installation.

Figure 23: Investments and employment impacts for district cooling pipeline installation and maintenance in Africa.

6.5.3 Fossil fuel import dependency and import cost savings for imports

Through increased efficiency and the relative ease of integrating renewable energy technologies, district energy systems may offer the ability to enhance energy security through reducing reliance on imports of fossil fuels, thereby also accruing cost savings at the national level. In the European Union, natural gas imports are projected to slightly increase over the next years, partly due to a decline in domestic production (Széles 2017). Enhanced action in district building reduces natural gas consumption in the European Union by 22%, alleviating the need for imports by 28%. Assuming a natural gas import price in 2030 of 7 EUR/MWh (IEA 2017b), this would result in approximate savings of EUR 20 bn in 2030.

Across the Africa region, the reduction in the primary demand for coal and gas through the measures for district cooling in 2030 (compared to the reference scenario) is estimated to account for less than 1% of fossil fuel imports in 2014. For China, the greatest impact in the reduction of fossil fuel consumption is in coal, but this has a limited impact for energy security in China from an import dependency perspective, due to the scale of coal production in China.

6.6/ EXPERIENCES FROM QINGDAO, PORT LOUIS AND IZMIR

Case studies from Qingdao and Port Louis illustrate, at the local level, how this action is delivering GHG emission reductions while also delivering multiple benefits to the population.

6.6.1 Qingdao, China

Qingdao is one of China’s low-carbon pilot cities, leading the transition towards low-carbon development. It has established a close connection between its economic development target and mitigation and adaptation targets (C40 Cities & Sustainia 2017). Up to 2020, one of its main focus areas is to improve energy efficiency and optimise industry (Sustainia 2017). The city of Qingdao aims to adopt a non-coal-based energy system with a low-temperature heat distribution network. Instead of coal, Qingdao will use natural gas, solar thermal, shallow ground geothermal and excess heat recovered from industrial plants to power its district heating, cooling and power production and distribution systems. It will provide a population of more than 400,000 in eight locations in the city with access to clean and highly efficient district energy including 18.3 million m² of heating area, 1.7 million m² of cooling area, and 107.9 MWh of electricity. Compared to the equivalent production of energy through traditional coal-fired sources, the project will: a) result in annual energy savings equivalent to 537,900 tons of standard coal, thereby avoiding the annual emission of 1.4 MtCO₂; b) improve local air quality through the estimated annual reduction of emissions of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and particulate matter; and c) eliminate the negative impacts of coal transportation through urban areas by truck or train (ADB 2015; C40 Cities & Sustainia 2017). This is expected to have significant impact on preventing respiratory and heart diseases.
Izmir, Turkey

Izmir, Turkey’s third-most populous city, faces average wintertime lows downwards of 10°C for at least six months every year. To meet the heating needs of residents, while minimizing operating costs, the city has maintained the Balçova-Narlidere geothermal district heating systems (GDHS) since 1996. By April 2010, the equivalent number of subscribers to the GDHS reached 30,500 dwellings (Özmen, 2010) and, as of 2015, Balçova-Narlidere provided 72 MW of district heat (Danish Board of District Heating, 2015). In using Izmir’s strategic proximity to geothermal sources, Balçova-Narlidere already limits GHG emissions significantly, compared to traditional heating methods, with CO2 reductions reaching upwards of 120,400 tons per year (Özmen, 2010). The system’s wider benefits are just as critical, particularly the positive effect on the city’s air quality. During the heating season, district heating has reduced the levels of potent sulfur dioxide (SO2) by at least 1,100 tons per year, fostering cleaner air for citizens of the ever-growing city Özmen (2010). Moreover, city residents are able to reduce heating costs by 35% compared to traditional residential gas consumption, saving the consumer money. As the project has required extensive operational support and maintenance over its 22-year lifespan, the Balçova-Narlidere GDHS has also proven to be a job creator and capacity-builder, increasing local opportunities and incentivizing the development of technical expertise in the fields of district heating and, more broadly, engineering.

6.6.2 Port Louis

The first district cooling system in Africa, using seawater for air-conditioning (SWAC), is being developed in Port Louis, the capital city of Mauritius island. Port Louis Central Business District, the targeted area for the first phase of the project, is one of the hottest places on the island, combining the subtropical climate with the natural urban heat island effect created by the concentration of buildings and human activities. Air conditioning is an essential year-round requirement for any business in the capital (Urban Cooling 2018a). And this is particularly key since electricity is the main source of greenhouse gases emission (45%), due to the island’s high dependence on imported fossil fuel for its electricity generation (Stats Mauritius 2016). Cold seawater (5°C) from the Indian Ocean will be pumped to cool buildings in Port Louis central business district and nearby locations (UNEPI 2017; Urban Cooling 2018c). When completed, the project will replace traditional air conditioning systems in buildings currently powered through fossil fuel-based plants, enabling to reduce the power supply peak load by about 26 MW. The project is expected to create 40 direct green jobs for skilled local engineers and technicians, and potentially create many more indirect jobs in downstream businesses such as aquaculture and pharmaceutical (AfDB 2014; AfDB 2016). It will increase energy security, enhancing the reliability of power supply while providing savings of around USD 5 million per year on fossil imports, as well as reduce GHG emissions by approximately 40 tCO2e per year (Urban Cooling 2018b).
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6.7/ OPPORTUNITIES FROM DISTRICT-SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY

Considering its potential importance as a measure to mitigate climate change and deliver on multiple development related objectives, district-scale renewable energy projects are somewhat under-represented in research literature and planning for the energy sector; consequently, action for the implementation of these systems could be significantly increased. District-scale renewable energy systems have not only one of the greatest potentials for emission reductions from the energy sector in cities, but also a relatively high degree of technological maturity in some applications; the opportunities for the upscaling and optimisation of these technologies is considerable. Case studies from Qingdao and Port Louis illustrate, at the local level, how this action is delivering GHG emission reduction while also addressing multiple benefits to the population.

One application of district-scale energy where there is presently less technological maturity and a need for much more research and action in particular, is for cooling. Very little information exists on the status and prospects of district cooling, and the implementation of this measure is far below its current potential, often not even existing in the form of demonstration projects in countries of potential feasibility. District cooling could be a highly important technology in many warmer regions in the future, where continued economic development is projected to result in enormous increases in energy demand for cooling.

Part of the reason that district-scale energy systems are often overlooked in research and implementation is that energy sector investments are often centralised at the national level, where the planning of district-scale projects faces many barriers. The findings of this report demonstrate clear incentives for devolving resources for energy supply, for the achievement of local and national development objectives. Investments in district-scale renewable energy systems can create jobs for local workers and may result in significant improvements in air quality and prevention of related premature mortality. The impacts would be greater still, if the economic and social costs of non-lethal health conditions would be considered in addition. Impacts for energy security from fossil fuel imports depend entirely on the energy sources of the specific country but can result in major economic gain for countries with high fossil fuel imports for their energy sectors.

The findings also indicate that the full opportunity of the benefits rely on careful planning and supporting interventions to avoid adverse impacts. For example, the net job gains will include job losses in some sectors, such as for the manufacture and installation of individual household heating and cooling appliances, and programmes may be needed to retrain people appropriately. Implementation of enhanced district-scale renewable energy programmes should also be planned carefully and with foresight to minimise the potential costs and asset stranding risk associated with the decommissioning of individual heating and cooling units that are not near the end of their technological lifetime.

Additional measures for modern urban energy systems, that were not within the scope of this research report, have the potential to further enhance the impacts of the measure. Whilst this report has focused on decentralised energy generation, McKinsey & C40 Cities (2017) find considerable emission reduction potential in cities from the further penetration of renewable technologies in centralised electricity generation to decarbonise the electricity grid.
Climate action can be action for health, quality of life and prosperity. This report has quantitatively assessed some of the major links between the climate and development agenda. The analysis of measures for energy efficiency retrofit in the residential building sector, district-scale renewable energy, and enhanced bus networks for modal shift of urban transportation has found positive impacts for various regions and countries in different stages of economic development.

- Residential energy efficiency retrofit can lead to net job creation of over 6 million jobs in cities worldwide, for regions where retrofit is an economically attractive climate change mitigation measure. For North America, the EU and China, the scale of job creation is equivalent to 4-12% of the unemployed population in 2017 and can increase household annual saving rates by 10-60%, with the lowest-income households benefiting the most.

- Enhanced bus networks and bus services could prevent the premature deaths of nearly 1 million people per year from air pollution related mortality and road traffic fatalities worldwide, saving nearly 40 billion hours of commuter travel time each year by 2030, equivalent to around 20 million additional full-time workers.

- District-scale renewable energy for heating and cooling in buildings could prevent over 300,000 premature ambient air pollution related deaths per year by 2030, whilst also creating jobs for approximately 8.3 million people, approximately 4.5 million more than in the reference scenario.

Climate action can have proportionally greater benefits for lower income groups. The findings of the analysis indicate that climate change action yields greater benefits in cities of developing countries. This is mainly due to the opportunity for significant infrastructure development as well as projected demographic shifts over the next 10 years. Together, these cities will have more people with the most to gain from newer construction, modern technologies, and cutting-edge practices. Additionally, lower-income groups in all regions are likely to accrue the most benefits from the assessed measures. This finding further strengthens the observed link between climate action and the development agenda.

To realize the full extent of the opportunities available to cities, action must be integrated throughout all aspects of delivery in a city. For the measures assessed in this report, the scale of the benefits was usually substantially greater in the case of the Paris Agreement compatible pathways than under the reference scenario. Likewise, the Paris Agreement compatible pathways deviate substantially from the reference case in terms of the scale of action and investments needed in the sectors. The climate, health and prosperity opportunities available to cities depends on focused, deliberate action to introduce appropriate policy interventions and invest in the most cost-effective actions for multi-objective impact.
The opportunities available to cities depends on careful planning to avoid adverse outcomes. Since enhanced action in the sectors analysed requires a significant shift in investment patterns and policy signals, it is inevitable that the shift of investments away from older industries will result in winners and losers, as well as occasional job displacement, which could threaten the positive impacts of net job creation in the case that programmes are not designed to retrain and channel affected workers into the new, decent job opportunities. As with most large infrastructure investments, there are several other potential negative impacts which could be incurred by the measures, unless sufficient planning is taken to mitigate these adverse outcomes. This is particularly relevant for lower-income and marginalised groups, who, despite having the most to gain from the benefits associated with the measures, are often most vulnerable to the adverse impacts if not sufficiently controlled for.

Further research is vital to continue to build the evidence case and to make it more accessible to city-level decision makers. The results presented in this report are an awareness raising teaser for particular city-level decision makers. Further research is vital to continue to build the evidence case and to make it more accessible to city-level decision makers. The results presented in this report are an awareness raising teaser for particular city-level decision makers.

- **Improved data from cities for inputs and ex-poste assessment:** The methodological approaches could also be significantly enhanced by improved availability of data on cities, both related to input data for activities in sectors, but also on ex-poste measurement of actually observed impacts, which can serve to validate and strengthen theoretical ex-ante methodologies.
- **Increased collaboration can accelerate climate action:** This report provides exemplary evidence on how cities can make the case to accelerate action for the three measures assessed. More work is needed to deepen the evidence base for impacts that can be realized through these measures, and for the many other measures that are vital to achieving the necessary emission reductions in cities in the short term, including, but not limited to, decarbonisation of the electricity grid, enabling next generation mobility, and improving waste management, which are also measures with considerable potential in cities (McKinsey & C40 Cities 2017). A great deal of fragmented research and information relevant for these objectives exists, from research institutions, civil society organisations and information collected by city-level and national governments. Enhanced collaboration between these groups to share information and better understand estimated and observed impacts can help to develop and deepen the case for action, empowering cities to make the necessary moves towards a climate safe, healthy and prosperous future.
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### 7.1.1 Calculation logic for energy efficiency retrofits in residential buildings

**METHODOLOGICAL LOGIC OVERVIEW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Outcome Indicator</th>
<th>Scenario Input</th>
<th>Input Data</th>
<th>Change in Condition</th>
<th>Calculation Logic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in energy expenditure for average household (EUR/yr)</td>
<td>Average household expenditure on energy without measures (EUR/yr)</td>
<td>Disposable household income for average household (EUR)</td>
<td>Increased saving rate &amp; purchasing power for the average household (% and EUR)</td>
<td>Total floor space renovated per year (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in average PEC (%)</td>
<td>Average cost of retrofit per m² (EUR/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total annual investment needs (EUR)</td>
<td>Rate of retrofit User input: % buildings stock renovated / yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of floor space renovated per year (m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct and indirect creation of jobs (FTE jobs)</td>
<td>Disposable household income for poorest quintile (EUR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable household income for poorest quintile (EUR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assumption on financing model</td>
<td>Disposal household income for poorest quintile (1% or EUR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable household income for average household (EUR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual savings rate for poorest quintile (% or EUR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable household income for average household (EUR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual savings rate for poorest quintile (% or EUR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANNEX I**

The flow charts below provide a graphical overview of the calculation logic, demonstrating how data sources and model inputs are used to complete the steps required for the calculation. Further explanations are given in the technical methodology document.
References, methodologies and assumptions

See the full report and methodological document for a full list of references used in this research as well as details of the methodologies developed, and the assumptions contained.